


Sr No	Date	Orders
		<p>% 19 05 2004</p> <p>Present Ms Beenashaw Soni Advocate for the petitioner Ms Varsha Goyal for Ms Karlish Gambhir, Advocate for respondent No 1 Ms Anusuya Salwan, advocate for respondent No 2</p> <p>+ <u>WP (C) 7533/2002</u></p> <p>The petitioner has filed the present petition aggrieved by rejection of the case of the petitioner for allotment of a plot under the re location scheme for polluting industries The grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner produced the relevant documents including sales tax registration certificate for the relevant period of time though under a different name and the explanation for the same is that, that was the prior name under which the business of the petitioner was being run</p> <p>In the counter affidavit filed by the respondents it is not clear as to what is the appreciation of the documents furnished by the petitioner Reference has been made to the income tax return of the year 1998 filed by the petitioner I am of the considered view that these documents would not be of much relevance since what is to be seen is as to whether the petitioner was carrying on manufacturing activity prior to the cut-off date of 19 04 1996 It is in this respect that documents were required to be produced and appreciated by the respondent authorities</p> <p>In view of the aforesaid circumstances, I consider it appropriate to direct the Commissioner (Industries) to examine the case of the petitioner afresh and take into consideration the documents in respect of the period prior to 19 04 1996</p> <p>The petitioner should appear before the Director (Industries) on 07 06 2004 at 11 00 AM and the petitioner shall produce whatever documents they wish to produce to substantiate their claim of carrying on manufacturing activity prior to 19 04 1996 A personal hearing will be given on that date and the concerned authority shall pass a speaking order on the issue of appreciation of the documents</p>

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed By: AMULY,
Certified that the digital file and
physical file have been compared and
the digital data is as per the physical
file and no page is missing.

2533/02

9

Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		<p>furnished by the petitioner and take a decision within a maximum period of one month thereafter. In case of any adverse order liberty is granted to the petitioner to impugn the same in accordance with law</p> <p>The interim order dated 15.10.2003 shall continue to enure for the benefit of the petitioner till the aforesaid decision is taken and in case of an adverse decision, for a period of 15 days after the receipt of the communication by the Commissioner of the said decision.</p> <p>The writ petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms leaving the parties to bear their own costs</p> <p>May 19, 2004</p> <p>mb</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  SANJAY KISHAN KAUL, J </p>