


Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		<p>* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI</p> <p>+ RC.S.A. 5/2004 & CM.APPL.5456/2004</p> <p>ABDUL LATIF & ORS. Appellants Through Mr.Raj Kumar Sehrawat, Advocate.</p> <p>versus</p> <p>SHAHIDAN BEGUM Respondent Through Nemo.</p> <p>CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.S. SODHI</p> <p><u>ORDER</u> 17.09.2004</p> <p>%</p> <p>This appeal is directed against the Judgment dated 19.2.2004 of the Additional Rent Control Tribunal, Delhi whereby the learned Additional Rent Control Tribunal dismissed the appeal of appellant herein which appeal arose out of the order of the Additional Rent Controller, Delhi vide the learned Additional Rent Controller dismissed the application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC moved by the respondent herein for setting aside the ex-pare order dated 18.11.1987.</p> <p>With the assistance of counsel for the appellant, I have</p>

H.C.D.-1 (a) Continuation Sheet

Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		<p>:2:</p> <p>gone through the record of the case and the judgment under challenge. I am satisfied that the judgment under challenge suffers from no infirmity, perversity, impropriety, illegality or jurisdictional error.</p> <p>RC.S.A. 5/2004 & CM.APPL.5456/2004 are dismissed.</p> <div> R.S. SODHI, J</div> <p>SEPTEMBER 17, 2004</p> <p>bp</p>