

Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		<p data-bbox="546 241 1486 286">IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI</p> <p data-bbox="450 369 840 414">+ W.P.(C) 2019/2001</p> <p data-bbox="546 497 1318 582">INDIAN AIRLINES Petitioner Through Mr. Lalit Bhasin, Adv.</p> <p data-bbox="739 627 840 660">versus</p> <p data-bbox="546 750 1554 963">SEC, GOVT. OF INDIA Respondent Through Dr. Surat Singh with Ms. Maimta Rani, Mr. Inderjet Singh and Mr. Kulbhushan for Advs. for R-4. Ms. Rekha Palli, Adv.</p> <p data-bbox="546 1048 1310 1131">CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR</p> <p data-bbox="831 1220 1016 1310"><u>ORDER</u> 20.09.2004</p> <p data-bbox="450 1400 1562 1780">Learned counsel for the Central Government says that the reference order made on 22nd November, 2000 may be quashed because it is contrary to the judgment of the Division Bench of this Court in CW 2644/97 (Gurpal Singh & Ors. vs. Indian Airlines & Ors.) decided on 21st August, 1998.</p> <p data-bbox="450 1825 1562 1948">In view of the statement of learned counsel for the Central Government, that has been made on instructions, the impugned reference</p>

Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		<p>is quashed.</p> <p>Learned counsel for Respondent No.4 says that as per his instructions the aforesaid judgment of the Division Bench of this Court is not being implemented and that he would like to take steps in this regard. There is no prohibition against Respondent No.4 in taking such steps as permitted by law. However, for the record, learned counsel for the Petitioner says that as far as he is instructed, the judgment is being implemented.</p> <p>The writ petition stands disposed of.</p> <p>CM 3478/2001& CM 1282/2003.</p> <p><i>Madan Lokur</i> MADAN B. LOKUR, J</p> <p>SEPTEMBER 20, 2004 rkr</p>