Sr. No.	Date	Orders	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
			0/
		% 0(= 10 2004	
(Present Ms.Kusumlata for the appellant Mr.Sanjeev Sachdeva with Ms.I for the respondent	
· -		+ FAO [OS] No. 200/2002	
		* Counsel for the appellant says that appellant has filed an application under Order 6 Rule 17 for amendment of the	
		plaint. Notice of the same has been issu	ed. Reply is yet to be
> ∠`		filled by the respondent and it is only after the de ision of that application the appellant would take a decision whether in the	
		light of the amended written statement, if allowed by the Court to	
be filed as to		be filed as to whether any application of	inder Order 12 Rule 6
يو. پيد		has to be filed later on However, as she has filed an application for amendment of the plaint, this appeal has become	
()		
		infructuous. Dismissed as having b een madered infractous.	
,			Vije ider Jain, J
			rL
		October 01, 2004	Aril Kumar, J
		FAO (OS) No.200/02	7. g. 1