

IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL.

Writ Petition No. 1186 of 2003 (M/B)

&

Writ Petition No.38 of 2004 (M/B)

Shailendra Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of U.P. & others

..... Respondents.

Hon. P. C. Verma, A.C.J.

Hon. M. M. Ghildiyal, J.

Heard Mr. R.K. Raizada, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. L.P. Shukla, learned Advocate for U.P. Forest Development Corporation, Mr. B.S. Verma, learned Standing Counsel for Govt. of U.P. and Mr. N.B. Tiwari, learned Chief Standing Counsel.

These PILs cannot be proceeded with in view of the statement made by State of Uttaranchal that no loss is caused to the State of Uttaranchal as the entire area of proposed mining lease comprising of 1145 hecets. lies within Shivalik Forest Division, Saharanpur, U.P. and no portion of Uttaranchal State is included therein.

In view of this statement made in the counter affidavit, both the petitions are disposed of.

(P. C. Verma, A.C.J.)

(M. M. Ghildiyal, J.)

Dt. 27.02.2004

G