

C.O.C.P. No. 791 of 2004.

Present: Mr. R.S. Mittal, Senior Advocate, with
Mr. Atul Gour, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that despite orders of status quo regarding possession dated September 05, 1994 and November 30, 1994, respondents Nos. 1 and 2, representing Punjab Wakf Board (respondent No. 6), have executed a sale deed in favour of respondents Nos. 3 and 4, and respondents Nos. 3 and 4, in connivance with respondents Nos. 1 and 2, have taken possession of a part of the land and are in the process of constructing shops without obtaining permission for the change of user and without getting the plan sanctioned. It is further contended that the whole exercise is being carried out with the active support of respondent No. 5.

Notice be issued to respondents Nos. 1 to 5 for August 10, 2004, to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against them.

Process be given 'Dasti' also, as prayed.

"Sd/-"

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE

July 27, 2004.
ak

Received & Sub
Dasti marked
Removal
3 Sushir ad
29/7/04



Pooja
2012.10.11 11:33
True Scanned
Copy Of The
Original
PHHC, Chandigarh

JOYTI RANI
2016.03.28 16:19
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document