

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH  
AT HYDERABAD  
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE THIRTIETH DAY OF JUNE  
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT  
**THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY**

**WRIT PETITION NO : 10868 of 2004**

Between:

Puli Padmaja, W/o.Narayana,  
R/o.H.No.13-71-A, Devangapuri, Prakasam Dist.

**..... PETITIONER**

AND

- 1 The Jt.Collector, Ongole,Prakasam Dist.
- 2 The R.D.O.,Ongole, Prakasam Dist.
- 3 The M.R.O., Chirala, Prakasam Dist.
- 4 Ch.Srinivasa Rao, S/o.Veerabhadra Rao,  
R/o.2nd Ward, near Sivakumar Theatre Devangapuri Village,  
Chirala Mandal, Prakasam Dist.

**.....RESPONDENT(S)**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the order of the 2nd respondent issued in his proceedings RCC/589/2004 dated:14-06-2004 suspending the regular dealership authorization of the petitioner of shop No.5 of Devangapuri village, Chirala mandal, Prakasam District and opportunity the 4th respondent as Temporary Fair Price shop dealer of shop No.5 and consequential inaction of the 1st respondent in not disposing of the stay petition and appeal of the petitioner, on his file filed against the order of the 2nd respondent dated 14-6-2004 as arbitrary, illegal, improper, malafide, unconstitutional and against right of appeal and consequently set aside the orders in RCC No.589/2004 dated 14-06-2004 issued by the 2nd respondent thereby enabling the petitioner to continue as permanent Fair price shop Dealer with authorization of shop No.5, Devangapuri Village, Chirala Mandal, Prakasam District.

**Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.RAJANIKANTH JWALA**

**Counsel for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: The Government Pleader for  
CIVIL SUPPLIES**

**Counsel for the Respondent No.4: None appeared.**

**The Court at the stage of admission made the following order:**

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the first respondent in not passing any orders even on the stay petition which was filed against the order of suspension dated 14-06-2004 passed by the second respondent.

According to the petitioner, there are absolutely no merits in the impugned order passed by the second respondent, as she is not aware of any person who is stated to be a benami to her. Therefore, sought for appropriate directions.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies.

In view of the pendency of the appeal filed by the petitioner before the first respondent, it would be appropriate to direct the appellate authority to dispose of the appeal itself expeditiously.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of directing the first respondent to dispose of the appeal expeditiously within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of the order. No order as to costs.

---

(S.ANANDA REDDY, J)

30<sup>th</sup> JUNE, 2004.

Note: furnish c.c. in three days.

B/o  
Kvni

To

- 1 The Jt.Collector, Ongole,Prakasam Dist.
- 2 The R.D.O.,Ongole, Prakasam Dist.
- 3 The M.R.O., Chirala, Prakasam Dist.
- 4 Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, A.P., Hyderabad. (OUT)
- 5 Two CD copies.