

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Writ Petition No. 8603 of 2003

Rashtravadi Suraksha Rakshak
& General Kamgar Union .. Petitioner
V/s.
Security Guards Board for
Gr. Bombay & Thane District & Ors. .. Respondents

Ms. Ranjana Todankar i/b. Mr. S.S. Pakale for the Petitioner.

Ms. Lata S. Desai for the Respondent No. 1.

Mr. A.M. Koyande with Mr. S.N. Deshpande for the Respondent No. 2.

Mr. R.D. Suvarna for the Respondent No. 3.

**CORAM : S. RADHAKRISHNAN &
S.A. BOBDE, JJ.**
DATED : 30.11.2004.

P.C.:-

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner and the learned counsel for the Respondents. Perused the Affidavit-in-Reply filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. The Respondent No. 1 Board very categorically states that none of the Members of the Petitioners are registered with the Respondent No. 1. Over and above, the Respondent No. 1 also states that the Respondent No. 2 is employing guards only through the names suggested by the Respondent No. 1. The Affidavit-in-Reply filed by the Respondent No. 2 clearly states that the services of the concerned employees were terminated as far back as on 2.12.2003 and it is also mentioned that the Respondent No. 2 has already been exempted by the State Government from the purview of Maharashtra Pvt. Security Guard (Regulation

(2)

of Employment and Welfare) Act, 1981. Under these circumstances, there is absolutely no merit in the above Petition, the Petition stands dismissed.

(S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.)

(S.A. BOBDE, J.)