(Spl.-H.C.A.S., C.D., 78-e)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO. IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA APPELLATE SIDE

MISCELLANEOUS CIVIL APPLICATION NO.71 OF 2004 IN FIRST APPEAL NO. 144 OF 2002

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Quorum, appearances, Court's Court's or Judge's Orders orders or directions and Registrar's orders.

Ms. Α. Naik, advocate for the applicant.

Shri D. Pangam, advocate for respondent nos. 1(a) and 1(b).

CORAM : D. G. KARNIK, J.

DATE : 30th April, 2004

P.C.:

Heard Ms. Naik, learned counsel for the applicant and Shri Pangam, learned counsel for the original respondent no.1(b) and proposed legal representatives (a) and (b) of deceased respondent no. 1(a). Shri Pangam has no objection for condoning the delay in making of the application. The proposed legal representatives (c) and (d) are absent though served.

Hence, for the reasons disclosed in paragraphs 1 to 3 of the application the delay is

condoned and the application is
allowed in terms of prayer clause
(a).

D. G. KARNIK, J.

mc.