

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 17721 of 2003

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO  
to see the judgements?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO  
Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals?

-----  
RAJESH JASUBHAI PATEL

Versus

REGIONAL PASSPORT OFFICER  
-----

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 17721 of 2003  
MS KD PARMAR for Petitioner No. 1  
MS PJ DAVAWALA for Respondent No. 1  
-----

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.S.JHAVERI

Date of decision: 30/07/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. Rule. Ms.P J Davawala, learned Central  
Government Standing Counsel waives service of rule. At

the request of learned counsel for the parties the petition is finally heard today.

2. The petitioner states that through inadvertence his place of birth is wrongly mentioned in the Passport and the respondent authority has refused to entertain the petitioner's request to correct the said mistake. According to the petitioner the correct place of birth is Uttarsanda instead of Nar in the Passport.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent states that only on the basis of material given by the petitioner the place of birth was recorded in the passport. She further stated that no change in the passport is effected, but for making any correction in the passport, a new passport is normally issued by the authority with correct details if the authority is satisfied with the genuineness of the data to be corrected.

4. Having heard the parties and looking to the facts and circumstances and also the material placed on record, the respondent is directed to look into the case of the petitioner on the basis of the evidence already adduced or which may be adduced by the petitioner in support of petitioner's case to correct the place of birth as stated above. The respondent shall take appropriate decision in accordance with law after considering the relevant evidence adduced before him and shall pass an appropriate order as expeditiously as possible.

5. As the respondent authority will have to undertake the exercise of scrutinizing the case of the petitioner afresh because of the default committed by the petitioner in not placing correct facts at an earlier point of time, a sum of Rs. 5,000/- is ordered to be paid by the petitioner to the respondent authority by way of costs.

6. Accordingly the petition is allowed to the aforesaid extent. Rule is made absolute. D.S. permitted.

[K.S. JHAVERI J.]

pravin/