

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 10006 of 2004

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

SARMA SEVA SAHAKARI MANDALI LTD. THROUGH CHAIRMAN

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 10006 of 2004
MR ANSHIN H DESAI for Petitioner No. 1
GOVERNMENT PLEADER for Respondent No. 1-3
NOTICE SERVED BY DS for Respondent No. 4
-

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.R. SHAH

Date of decision: 30/09/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Mr.Dipen Desai, Learned AGP waives service
of rule on behalf of the respondents. With the consent of

the parties, the matter is taken up for final hearing today.

2. In this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has challenged the legality and validity of the order passed by the Revisional Authority dated 12.8.2004 passed in Revision Application No.106 of 2004 in vacating the adinterim relief granted earlier during the pendency of the revision application. This Court while issuing notice on 16.8.2004 has granted the order of status quo regarding the management of the petitioner society by way of adinterim order and the said order is continued till date. The revision application before the State Government is pending. In that view of the matter, the revisional authority is directed to decide and dispose of the revision application pending before him as early as possible within a period of two months from the date of receipt of this order and until that the status quo order granted by this Court is directed to be continued. The revisional authority is directed to decide and dispose of the revision application on merits and in accordance with law without in any way being influenced by the fact that this Court has granted the order of status quo and continued the same. Rule is made absolute with no order as to costs.

(M.R.Shah, J)

jitu