

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1317 of 1991

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgment?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

HASANBHAI PIRBHAI & CO

Versus

RASIKLAL C PARIKH

Appearance:

MR KC SHAH for the Petitioner.
..... for Petitioner No. 2-3
MR NILESH A PANDYA for the Respondent.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 27/02/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.N.A. Pandya, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent-original plaintiff, states that his client has already sold away the property to the present petitioners, who were the original defendants of the

suit. In that view of the matter, the defendants themselves have become the owners of the disputed property.

In view of the above statement of Mr.N.A. Pandya, nothing further is required to be done in this matter. Revision is accordingly disposed of as having become infructuous in view of the aforesaid subsequent event. Rule is discharged. Interim relief stands vacated. No costs.

Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

27th February, 2004 (P.B. Majmudar, J.)

(apj)