

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 1046 of 1994

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO  
to see the judgment?
  2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
  3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO  
of the judgement?
  4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO  
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution  
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
  5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO  
Magistrate/Magistrates,Judge/Judges,Tribunal/Tribunals?

-----  
HEIRS OF DADUBHAI H PATEL (1) INDUBEN D PATEL & 3

Versus

BHADARAN SEVA SAHAKARI MANDALI LTD,  
-----

Appearance:

MR JD AJMERA for the Petitioner.

MR MC SHAH for the Respondent.  
-----

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 30/01/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr.M.C. Shah, learned Advocate appearing for the respondent-Society, states that the petitioner-judgment debtor has paid the entire decretal dues and, therefore, now, nothing further is required to be done even in the

execution proceedings. He places on record the letter received by him from the Manager of the respondent-Society. The same is kept on record. In view of the same, Mr. Ajmera, learned Advocate for the applicants, wants to withdraw this Civil Revision Application, as, according to him, the same has become infructuous. Permission is granted. This CRA stands disposed of as withdrawn. Rule is discharged. Interim relief is vacated. No costs.

If the Darkhast is still pending, the Executing Court may now dispose of the same, as it seems that, now, nothing further is required to be done in the same in view of the fact that the decree is already complied with by the judgment debtor.

30th January, 2004 ( P.B. Majmudar, J. )

\*\*\*

(apj)