

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 124 of 2000

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

KUSUMBEN WD/O PREMCHANDRA HARISHCHANDRA

Versus

RANUMAL NAJUMAL MAHESHWARI

Appearance:

1. Civil Revision Application No. 124 of 2000
MR SURESH M SHAH for Petitioner No. 1
MR MEHUL S SHAH for Petitioner No. 1
MS MAYA N BHAVNANI for Respondent No. 1
MR GT DAYANI for Respondent No. 1
..... for Respondent No. 2
-

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.MAJMUDAR

Date of decision: 30/01/2004

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Mr Dayani, learned advocate for respondent no.1, states that present petitioner has already handed over the possession of the suit premises to respondent no.1 and, therefore, according to him this Civil Revision Application has become infructuous. This Civil Revision Application stands disposed of as having become infructuous. Rule is discharged. Interim relief is vacated.

The letter addressed by respondent no.1 to Mr Dayani is kept on record.

Liberty to apply in case of difficulty.

(P.B.Majmudar, J.)

*mohd