

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

W. P. NO. 4230 OF 2004

PETITIONERS

1. Salim Thobhani, aged about 35 years, S/o Shri Shahabuddin Thobhani, Parnter M/s Thobhani Automobiles, Manendragarh, R/o A/2, Farishta Apartments, Byron Bazar, Raipur, District Raipur (CG)
2. Manohar Lal Purohit, aged about 72 years, S/o Shri K. L. Purohit Manager, M/s Thobhani Auto-Mobiles, Manendragarh, R/o Ward No. 1, Civil Lines, Manendragarh, Distt. Koriya (CG)
3. Sanjeev Kumar Purohit, aged about 24 years, S/o Shri M. L. Purohit, Assistant Manager, M/s Thobhani Automobiles, Manendragarh, R/o Ward No.1, Civil Lines, Manendragarh, Distt. Koriya (Chhattisgarh)
4. S. N. Jha, aged about 62 years, S/o Shri Jha, Owner, M/s Balaji Road Carriers, Tarbahar, Bilaspur Tahsil & District Bilaspur (CG)

RECEIVED COPY
04/11/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

P.R. No. 4299/04
Presented by Shri. Amit Verma
dated 04/11/04



VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Department of Food, Civil Supplies & Consumer Protection, Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur.
2. Collector (Food), District Koriya Chhattisgarh.
3. Food Inspector, Manendragarh, District Koriya [Chhattisgarh]

2
5

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 227 READ WITH
ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR
ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI,
MANDAMUS PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT
OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR
ORDERS:**

(138)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

W.P. 4230

सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>24.12.2004</p> <p>On a mention being made, the case is taken up for hearing. Shri Manindra Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioners. Shri N.K. Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General for the State/ respondents.</p> <p>Heard both the counsel.</p> <p>By this writ petition the petitioners have challenged the legality and propriety of the order dated 17.9.2004 passed by the appellate authority, the State Government, whereby the prayer for staying the effect and operation of the order passed by the Collector has been refused.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the appeal is still pending and only the stay application has been rejected, in the circumstances, if the appellate authority is directed to dispose of the appeal itself that will meet his requirement.</p> <p>To this submission, learned Deputy Advocate General has no objection.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the appellate authority i.e. the State Government is directed to dispose of the appeal as early as possible, but not later than first week of February, 2005.</p> <p>With the above direction, the petition stands disposed of.</p> <p>In view of this order, M.(W.) P.No.4605/2004 also stands disposed of.</p> <p>Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.</p>	
Soma		

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

[पांछे देखिये]

+

Shri
12.05

Shri
27/12