c.8.10d

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CG)
WRIT PETITION NO. 3343 OF 2004

BETWEEN

Sumil Rumar Shrivastava S/o Late Shri D.P.Shrivastava, aged about 38 years, occupation government service, working as Office Assistant Grade II, Block Education Office, Charama, Bistrict Kanker (CG).

PETITI ON BR

AND

- The State of Chhattisgarh,
 Through the Secretary Adim Jati Tatha Anusuchit Jati
 Vikas Vibhag, Mantralay, D. K.S. Building, Raipur (CG).
- 2. The Director, Adim Jati Tatha Anusuchit Jati Wikas Wibhag, Raipur.
- 3. Collector, Kanker District Kanker (CG).
- Assistant Commissioner, Adim Jati Tatha Anusuchit Jati Watkaxaxaxakkt Vikas Vibhag, Kanker (CG).
- 5. akazk mistrict Education Officer, Charama, North Bastar Kanker (CG).

tion under articles

RESPONDENTS

OmaO.

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF

INDIA

of the constitution of

XI-HC--78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर W.R. No. 3343/2004

	आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)	
आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
C. American	<u>29.10.2004</u>	
		ounsel for the petitioner. nel Lawyer for the State.
	Application for urgent	hearing is allowed.
	Heard. Learned counsel for th	e petitioner submits that in similar
		4/2004 (Jageshwar Das Vaishnav =
		d others) this Court has passed an has produced the copy of the said
	order and prays for similar	
	The prayer is not oppo	sed by the State counsel.

The petitioner was making request for being relieved since 2003, but he was deliberately not relieved on the pretext that Vidhan Sabha elections are there and thereafter, Lok Sabha elections are to be held. Some of the employees have filed writ petitions before this Court and this Court had passed a detailed order directing the State to relieve them. The State should have relieved those persons in the year 2003 or in any case immediately after the Vidhan Sabha or Lok Sabha elections. The State and its officials are themselves responsible for not relieving them so as to join to the places of posting. During this period, many of them have shifted their families, got admitted their

XI-HC--78

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

	assigning any reason, all tra	
	Having thus considered	
		l, so far as order dated 18.6.2004 is
	concerned, the same is not	sustainable and has to be set aside
	and is set aside. The question	n remains as to what is to be done
	for relieving.	
	Counsel for the partie	s submitted that the petitioner be
	given opportunity to make h	s representation.
	The petitioner may m	ake representation within 10 days
	from today, and on such repr	esentation being filed, the same will
	be considered as early as pos	sible preferably within 4 weeks. The
	State would consider all asp	ects of the matter and the relevant
	facts and circumstances un	der which he was transferred and
	not relieved. It is made clear	that if any grievance still remains,
set est thou	petitioner may avail the rem	edy under law.
	This petition is dispos	sed of with the above directions/
	observations.	
	C.C. as per rules.	Sd/-
		Fakhruddin Judge
		June 1997
Vooth		

A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR