

### (AMENDED WRIT PETITION)

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

#### WRIT PETITION NO. 2464/2004

#### PETITIONER

Tathagat Shrivastava, S/o. Shri K. L. Shrivastava, Aged about 34 years, R/o. Plot No. 327, Ward NO. 22, Shri Ram Colony, Behind Railaway Stadium, Raigarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.).

#### VERSUS

#### RESPONDENTS

Guru Ghasidas University, Koni, Bilaspur, (C.G.) Through: The Registrar.

The Vice Chancellor, Guru Ghasidas University, Koni Bilaspur, (C.G.).

3. The Principal, Swami Balkrishna Puri Law College, Raigarh (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUE OF AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE NATURE ETC.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

## आदेश पत्रक *WP २५५५)७५* मन २१

<sup>''''</sup> विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित

आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

#### 29.10.2004

Shri Rajesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Standing Counsel for respondent No.1 & 2.

Shri N.K. Malviya, Adv. appears on behalf of Shri A.K. Ataley, counsel for respondent No.3.

Heard.

The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India being aggrieved by the decision of respondent No.2 dated 11.08.2004 (Annexure P-9) whereby the petitioner has been denied the admission in L.L.B. Part-1 on two grounds i.e. he has not passed the graduation examination under 10+2+3 scheme and secondly as per Clause 9.1 of the guidelines (Annexure R-4) issued by the State Government.

Return has been filed on behalf of the respondent Nos.1 & 2.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, counsel for respondents No.1 & 2 and perused the record.

As per Clause 9.1 of the guidelines issued by the State Government "if a candidate has been admitted in any college or in any department of the University in any faculty as a regular candidate and if he leaves the studies or fails in the examination or had not appeared in the examination, then he will not be admitted in the same class".

In the present case, it is an admitted fact that the petitioner was admitted in L.L.B. 1st year, though it is said to be a provisional admission and as per return filed on behalf of respondent University roll number for appearing in LLB 1st year examination assembles issued to the petitioner, but he failed to appear in the said examination.

पिछे देखिये

आदेश का

दिनांक आदेश

## उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर



कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार

के अन्तिम आदेश

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200 विरुद्ध

In view of the above, I am of the opinion that the petitioner has

been rightly refused admission in ILB 1st year course.

आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in that case the respondents may be directed to allow the petitioner to appear in the L.L.B. 1st year examination as an Ex-student.

In Para 15 of the return of respondent Nos.1 & 2 it has been mentioned that the case of the petitioner regarding allowing him to appear in the LLB 1st year examination as an Ex-student is concerned, respondent Nos.1 & 2 have not taken any decision till date because the petitioner did not raise any such claim, if he approaches respondents with such a claim, it would be considered in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the petitioner is directed to approach respondent No.3-Principal, Swami Balkrishna Puri Law College, Raigarh, with a request to permit him to appear in the LLB 1st Year examination as an Ex-student. The respondent No.3 will forward the case of the petitioner to respondent No.1-University for consideration and, in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide the case of the petitioner about the eligibility to appear in the LLB 1st year Examination as an Ex-student for the session 2004-05 as early as possible in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid directions, the petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-L.C.BHADOO Judge

Roshan

[पीछे देखिये