

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 897/2004

PETITIONER

A tose used pay String of the Asia

nati Singh

Sripati Singh,
S/o Late Nayan Singh,
Aged about 56 years,
R/o Belwatikar, Daltonguni,
District – Palamu, Jharkhand.

- Versus-
- State of Chhattisgarh, through
 Secretary, Department of Home,
 Mantralaya, Shastri Chowk,
 Raipur (C.G.).
- Superintendent of Police,
 Bilaspur (C.G.)
- 3. Shri Abdul Rashid,
 S/o Shri Abdul Hafij,
 Aged about 51 years,
 Proprietor M/s A. R. Trading
 Company, near C.M.D. College,
 Link Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

RESPONDENTS





उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ७१ ४९७७ | सन् २००

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	24.12.2004	

On a mention being made, the matter is taken up for hearing.

Shri Prashant Jaiswal, counsel for the petitioner.

Smt. Fouzia Mirza, Panel Lawyer for the State.

The petitioner has moved this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India with the prayer that respondent No.1 & 2 be directed to arrest respondent No.3, produce him before the Court of C.J.M., Daltangunj, Palamu (Jharkhand), declare him as absconding and attach his property.

Brief facts leading to filing of this petition are that the petitioner herein filed a Complaint Case No. 17/01 before the Chief Daltanguni, Judicial Magistrate, Palamu (Jharkhand) commission of offence under Sections 419, 420 & 406 of Indian Penal Code against respondent No.3 herein. It appears that based on that complaint learned Magistrate took the cognizance of the aforesaid offences against respondent No.3 and summoned him to appear before the said court on 15.1.2001. However, on 16.2.2001 on the request of the complainant / petitioner herein warrant of arrest was directed to be issued to produce respondent No.3 before the said court on 5.3.2001 and vide order dated 20.2.2002 it was ordered that the matter be fixed on 12.3.2002 for order under Sections 82 & 83 of Cr.P.C.

It appears that in the meantime, respondent herein moved anticipatory bail before the Court of Sessions Judge, Daltongunj, Palamu, but the same has been rejected by the said court vide



उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)					
आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक					
	order dated 7.9.2001. Be	ing dissatisfied with the said order,			
	respondent No.3 moved an	ticipatory bail before the High Court of			
	Jharkhand at Ranchi and	vide order dated 10.10.2001 the High			
	Court of Jharkhand directed respondent No.3 to surrender befor				
	the court below.				
6	As born-out from	the records, the C.J.M., Daltongunj,			
	Palamu sent a communic	ation (Annexure P-4) addressed to the			
	Officer In-charge, Bilaspur	Officer In-charge, Bilaspur (C.G.) that a non-bailable warrant of			
	arrest and process under	arrest and process under Sections 82 & 83 of Cr.P.C. be issued			
	against respondent No.3 aı	against respondent No.3 and further directed the Officer In-charge			
	that process under Section	that process under Sections 82 & 83 of Cr.P.C. be executed as			
	early as possible.	early as possible.			
	I have heard learn	ed counsel for the petitioner on the			
	question of admission.				
	It has not been men	tioned in this petition that to whom the			
	warrant of arrest was	handed over. In the first instance,			
	communication (Annexure	P-4) is not correctly addressed either to			

Sections 82 & 83 of Cr.P.C.

As per provisions of Sections 82 of Cr.P.C., 'if any Court has reason to believe that any person against whom a warrant has been issued by it has absconded or is concealing himself so that such warrant cannot be executed, such Court may publish a written proclamation requiring him to appear at a specified place and at a

Station House Officer, Police Station where respondent No.3 herein

is residing or to the District Superintendent of Police, Bilaspur.

Moreover, Annexure P-4 is not in accordance with the provisions of

आदेश का दिनांक

तथा आदेश क्रमांक

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर



मामला क्रमांक

हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश

सन् 200

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

के अंतिम आदेश
specified time not less than thirty days from the date of publishing
such proclamation'. Therefore, Section 82 of Cr.P.C. provides for
issuance of proclamation. Section 83 of Cr.P.C. envisages that 'the
Court issuing a proclamation under Section 82 may, for reasons to
be recorded in writing, at any time after the issue of proclamation,
order the attachment of any property, moveable or immovable or
both, belonging to the proclaimed person'. Sub-section (2) of
Section 83 further envisages that 'such order shall authorize the
attachment of any property belonging to such person within the
 district in which it is made; and it shall authorize the attachment of
any property belonging to such person without such district when
endorsed by the District Magistrate within whose district such
property is situate'. Sub-section (4) further envisages that 'if the
property ordered to be attached is immoveable, the attachment
under this section shall, in the case of land paying revenue to the
State Government, be made through the Collector of the District in
which the land is situate'.

Therefore, in order to meet the requirements of Section 83 in the first instance, the court concerned is required to ascertain the immoveable and movable properties of the proclaimed offender and thereafter, the order regarding attachment is to be passed by that court. Thereafter, the concerned District Magistrate or as per provisions of Section 83 the Police Officer is to be directed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned to attach the property of the accused.

(V3)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश - न कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप के अंतिम आदेश	
	Perusal of Annexure P-4 reveals that such p	procedure has not
	been adopted by learned Chief Judicial Magist	rate. In the said
	communication the description of the property	, which is to be
and the second s	attached in pursuance of the C.J.M. order	, has not been
	mentioned. In view of the above reason, I	do not find any
	substance in this writ petition.	
	In the first instance, it has not been men	tioned in the writ
	petition that to whom warrant of arrest has been	sent and which is
	the officer who is not complying with the directi	ons of the C.J.M.
	The relief sought for in Paragraph 7.3 cannot b	e granted by this
	Court, for that purpose the Court of Chief Ju	idicial Magistrate
Diversity of the second of the	concerned is competent to declare respondent N	o.3 as proclaimed
100 mm	offender and issue order for attachment of the pro-	operty.
MENUTORY	In view of the foregoing discussion, I do no	t find any force in
and the second district of the second distric	this writ petition, same is liable to be dism	nissed and it is,
SECTION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	accordingly, dismissed.	
		634
реголива		Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo
# Per confession in the second		Judge
asanizanomere.		
No. of the second secon		
RETRACTOR AREA		
no or allectable de		
AN SERVICE AND		

GRPRJ-FS/350-12/2000-50,000