

CF 1001 (8)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

W.P.No. 1464/2004

**PETITIONER**

P. R. No. 1455/04  
Presented by S. K. Bhatnagar  
dated 24.12.2004

Nanhelal Bani @ Nanhelal Gupta,  
S/o Shri Shyamlal Bani,  
Aged about 56 years, Occupation-  
Service, Presently working as Forest  
Guard, posted at Sargadhi, Khudia  
Forest Range, Tahsil- Lormi,  
District- Bilaspur (C.G.)

-Versus -

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh,  
Through Secretary, Department  
Of Forest, Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhawan,  
Raipur (C.G.).
2. District Forest Officer,  
Forest Division Bilaspur (C.G.).
3. Range Officer,  
Khudia Forest Range,  
Tahsil- Lormi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.).

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION  
OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR  
DIRECTION TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.**

45

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 1464/सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><b>27.05.2004</b></p> <p>Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Yashwant Singh Thakur, Govt. Advocate for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India being aggrieved by the order dated 24.02.2004 (Annexure P-4) passed by the District Forest Officer, Bilaspur (respondent No.2).</p> <p>The case of the petitioner is that he is working as Forest Guard in the Forest Department of the State of Chhattisgarh and as per Kotwari register the petitioner's date of birth is 22.02.1948 but in his service record it has been recorded as 12.05.1944 on the basis of school leaving certificate submitted by his mother in which the Principal on his own has recorded the date of birth of the petitioner. When the petitioner came to know about his correct date of birth from the Kotwari register he immediately represented to the respondents in the year 2001 but no decision has been taken on the representation of the petitioner and now the respondents are going to retire the petitioner on 31.05.2004.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that since the respondents are not deciding the representation of the petitioner, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation along with the documents to respondent No.2 and respondent No.2 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of thirty days to which learned State counsel has no objection.</p>	

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 1464/04 सन् 2004

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation within a period of 10 days from today to respondent No.2 and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the same considering all the points raised by the petitioner and the documents, in an objective manner with a reasoned order in accordance with law.</p> <p>With the aforesaid direction, the petition stands disposed of.</p> <p>Consequently, M.W.P. No.1301/2004 &amp; I.A. Nos. 3337/2004 &amp; 3338/2004 also stand disposed of.</p> <p>Certified copy as per Rules.</p>	<p>Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge</p>

Reshant

14/12/2004

[सिग्नेचर]