IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 633 /2004

PETITIONER

Ramkhilawan, aged about 34 years, son of Shri Sudarshan Singh, resident of Kharora, Distt. Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

Ricol

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

alexisting the

1. State of Chhattisgarh: through
The Secretary, Department of Health
and Family Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. The Chhattisgarh Board f Ayurvedic and Unani System of Medicine and Naturopathy, Raipur, through: Its Registrar, Raipur (Chhattisgarh).

3. The State of Madhya Pradesh, through: Its Secretary Department of health and Family Welfare Mantralay, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

The MP Board of Ayurvedic and Unani System of Medicine and Naturopathy, Madhya Pradesh, through: The Registrar, Shyamla Hills, Bhopal (M.P.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.



आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक 🔊 🖓 🕬 १ 655 मामला क्रमांक 200 4

建长 (表现)		
	विरुद्ध	
आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	29.3.2004	
	Shri P.P. Sahu, counsel for the p	etitioner.
	Shri Vinod Shrivastava, Govt. A	Ivocate for the State.
	Application for urgent hearing is	allowed.
	Learned counsel for the petition	er submits that the matter is exactly similar to
	the W.P.No.2707/2002 (Hariram Verma	-vs- State of Chhattisgarh and others) where
4	orders have been passed on 20.2.200	3. Copy of that order has been filed as
	Annexure P-4 in this petition. He p	rays for similar orders in this petition also.
	Learned counsel for the State is not in a	position to dispute the same.
	In view of the order dated 24.4	2001 passed in W.P.No.558/2001 (Zahirulla
	Khan and another vs- State of Chhatt	isgarh & others), all the aspects contained in
	the present petition can be examined	by the competent authority at the time of
	consideration. In the result, this petition	on is disposed of with the direction that the
	petitioner be given requisite form for ea	proliment and the same be examined on merit
	by the competent authority keeping in	view the judgment rendered by M.P.High
	Court in W.P.No.287 of 1990 decided	on 6.5.1997. The petitioner should enclose a

Consequently, M.W.P.682/2004 also stands disposed of.

copy of that order with the form while submitting the forms for enrolment.

C.C. as per rules.

Sd/-Fakhruddin Judge