

CF 100/ ✓
(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 1300 /2002


PETITIONER : Dr. H.N. Mehrotra,
Office Superintendent Municipal
Council, Ambikapur,
Aged about 57 years,
S/o Late R.N. Mehrotra,
Resident of Ambikapur,
Distt. Sarguja, Chhattisgarh.

P. R. No. 1300/02
Presented by Shri. M. V. Patil
d. 11.7.02

Versus

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through - Secretary Urban and
Administration Department,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan,
Raipur (Chhattisgarh).
2. Deputy Director
(President of District
Selection Committee, Urban
Administration Department,
Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Chief Municipal Officer,
Municipal Council Ambikapur,
District Sarguja (Chhattisgarh)
4. P.R. Kashyap,
Chief Municipal Officer
Nagar Panchayat, Baloda,
District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF ORDER
IN NATURE OF WRITS OF MANDAMUS/CERTATORARI
OR ANY OTHER ORDER IN NATURE OF WRITS.

RECEIVED COPY.
CLERK TO A.C. BILASPUR

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक 381300/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>30-4-2004</u></p> <p>Shri Manoj Paranjpe, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri U.N.S. Deo, Govt. Advocate for respondents 1 and 2.</p> <p>Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, counsel for Municipal Corporation, Ambikapur/respondent No.3.</p> <p>Smt. Indira Tripathi, counsel for respondent No.4.</p> <p>I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation within a period of 10 days to respondent No.1 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.1 may be directed to decide his representation within a period of 30 days.</p> <p>The main grievance of the petitioner is that he was employed in the Municipal Council of Ambikapur and thereafter he was transferred from Ambikapur to Surajpur in the year 1987. He was again transferred to Municipal Council, Ambikapur in the year 1992 and at that time he was working as U.D.C.. During this period persons junior to him working in the Municipal Council, Ambikapur were promoted and he has challenged the same in this writ petition.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that since very short time is left in the retirement of the petitioner, he may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 to which the learned counsel for respondents have no objection.</p>	

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का
दिनांक आदेश
क्रमांक सहित

आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित

-2-

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार
के अन्तिम आदेश

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.1 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn respondent No.1 will decide the representation of the petitioner within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of the representation with a reasoned order in an objective manner after considering all the grievances.

Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

Consequently, I.A. No. 3011/2003 stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Barve