



IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

ORDER SHEET

Review PetitionNo. 1 of 200 4

State of Sikkim & Others Petitioner / Appellant

Versus

L.P.Pandey & Others Respondent

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
1.	9.3.2004	<p>Heard Shri S. P. Wangdi, learned Advocate General for the petitioners, as well as Shri A. Moulik, learned Counsel for the respondents.</p> <p>The Registry points out that there is delay in filing review. The judgment under review was delivered on 16.12.2003 and the review petition was to be filed within 30 days i.e. on 15.1.2004, which fell during the winter vacation. The Court reopened on 23.2.2004 after the vacation on which day the review petition was filed. In the circumstances, there was no delay in filing the review petition. The objection raised by the Registry is overruled.</p> <p>We have duly considered the submissions made by the learned Advocate General appearing for the petitioners. We do not find any valid and cogent reason to review our judgment. We however make it clear that the direction of the Court contained in the judgment shall be confined only to the writ petitioners and the private respondents of the writ petition. It is further made clear that it is under the wisdom and domain of the competent authority i.e. the State Government, to take final decision in the matter keeping in view of the observations and the directions of this Court made in the related judgment under review.</p>	



No. of ler	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
		<p data-bbox="347 433 1193 538">With the above observations, the review petition is disposed of.</p> <div data-bbox="469 531 756 684"><p data-bbox="496 531 724 604"><i>N. S. Singh</i></p><p data-bbox="469 604 687 684">(N. S. Singh) Judge</p></div> <div data-bbox="948 545 1182 684"><p data-bbox="1066 545 1177 618"><i>R. K. Patra</i></p><p data-bbox="948 604 1161 684">(R. K. Patra) Chief Justice</p></div>	