

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

## ORDER SHEET

Criminal Appeal No. 2 of 200 4

NANDA KISHORE KHANAL & ANOTHER Petitioner / Appellant

. . Versus

STATE OF SIKKIM

Respondent

Senal Date No. of of Order Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
Townson	Crl.Misc.Application No.8/2004	
15.6.2004	Heard Shri N. Rai, learned Counsel for the	
5	appellants.	
E	Delay in filing the appeal is condoned.	
	This application is accordingly disposed of.	* *
	Dun Dun	
	(R. K. Patra) Chief Justice	
	Ng Cele	
	( N. S. Singh ) Judge	
2. 15.6.2004	Crl.Appeal No.2/2004	Y out we
	Admit.	called for on 16-6
	Issue notice.	I called for on 16-6
1	Shri Karma Thinlay, learned Assistant	6.6
	Govt.Advocate takes notice on behalf of the State.  Paper book be prepared within 4 weeks. Call for	Peper Book Aup
		4 founded + 0
	the lower Court records.	In so Inaland
	D	~
3	Put up on 19.7.2004 for fixing date of hearing.	~~
Y. The second second	Dwn	
	Put up on 19.7.2004 for fixing date of hearing.  (R. K. Patra)  Chief Justice	
	(R. K. Patra)	
	(R. K. Patra)	



of of fer Order	Order with Signature	Office Not sto action (if any) taken on Order
3. 19.7.2004	for hearing.	
	(R. K. Patra) Chief Justice  N.3 (U. S. Singh) Judge	
4. 20.8,2004	Heard Shri N. Rai, learned Counsel  for the appellants and Shri J. B. Pradhan,  learned Public Prosecutor for the State.  Issue notice under Section 386(iii)  high 14.2.204,  Cr.P.C. to the appellants to show cause as to why the sentence of life imprisonment  on them should not be converted to punishme  with death fixing 14.9.2004.  (R. K. Patra)  Chief Justice  N. S. Singh)	I to and and
5. 14.9.2004	Put up for hearing on 11.10.2004, as requested by Shri N. Rai, counsel for the appellants.  ( R. K. Patra ) Chief Justice, N. N. Singh ) Judge	



## Crl.Appeal Ne. 2/2004

THE PASSO SALE		
Serial Date No. of of Order Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
6. 11,10,2004	As prayed for by Miss Jyoti Kharka, learned counsel for the appellant, put up to for hearing on 9.11,2004.	cipul
	(R. K. Patra ) Chief Justice	
	( A. P. Subba ) Judge	
7. 9.11.2004	Heard Shri N. Railassisted by Miss Jyot	:1
	Kharka, learned counsel for the appellant	
	and Shri Karma Thinlay, learned Asstt.Govt.	
	Advocate appearing for the State -respondent	
	As prayed for by Shri Karma Thinlay.	
	learned Asstt.Govt.Advocate for the State.	
	the matter be listed on 3.12.2004 for hearing	ng.
	N. & Cele	
	( N. S. Singh )	
A FINE	ann -	
	( A. P. Subba ) Judge	
4. 3.12.2004.	Heard Mr. N. Rai, learned Counsel appearing	
	for the appellants. Also heard Mr. J. B. Pradhan,	
	learned Public Prosecutor for the State.	
	The appellants submitted an application for	
	allowing them to withdraw the appeal by contending	
	inter alia, that the appellants had discussion with	
	their counsel and also took advise from their well	
	wishers and friends and lastly they have come to the	

conclusion that it would be better for them to



Serial Date No. of of Order Order

Order with Signature

Office Note a. to action (if any) taken on Order

withdraw the appeal preferred by them. It may be mentioned that while taking up the case for hearing on 20.8.2004, this Court issued a notice as recorded under Section 386 th Cr.P.C. to the appellants to show cause as to why the sentence of life imprisonment on them should not be converted to punishment with deathe The appellants submitted their show cause and contended that they are innocent persons and there is no material on record their conviction and sentence imprisonment, and, that being the position, they questioned the validity of the judgment and order of sentence passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Special Division - II, Sikkim in Criminal Case No.6 of 2002, but on advice of their well-wishers as well as the advice of their counsel, they submitted the application for withdrawal of the related appeal.

We have perused the judgment and order of sentence passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Special Division - II, Sikkim. After proper application of our mind in the matter, we are of the view that the learned Sessions Judge, Special Division - II, Sikkim had rightly passed the impugned judgment and order of sentence, and, as such, no interference is called for. So far as the enhancement of sentence is to punishment with death, we find no sufficient materials, for converting the sentence of life imprisonment to punishment with death.

For the reasons, observations and discussions made above, we allow the application for withdrawal filed by the appellants, thus affirming the impugned judgment and the order of sentence passed by the learned Sessions Judge. Special Division -

" micee

II, Sikkim.

420 Cit

