



1

Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		<p>* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI</p> <p>+ <u>WTA 8/2003</u></p> <p>COMMISSIONER OF WEALTH TAX DE Appellant Through Ms. P.L. Bansal, Adv.</p> <p>versus</p> <p>RAM NATH INDUSTRIES LTD. Respondent Through Nemo.</p> <p>CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE D.K. JAIN HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR</p> <p><u>ORDER</u> 24.07.2003</p> <p>% <u>CM 5/2003</u></p> <p>Allowed, subject to just exceptions.</p> <p><u>WTA No.8/2003</u></p> <p>Following our orders in WTA Nos.20/2002 and 23-25/2002 dated 8 January 2003 and 10 January 2003 respectively, pertaining to the assessment years 1992-93, 1991-92, 1990-91 and 1989-90, we decline to entertain this appeal as well, as the issue raised in this appeal by the Revenue under Section 260-A of the Income-tax Act, 1961 is identical to the one which had been raised in the afore-noted appeals.</p> <p>Dismissed.</p> <p> D.K. JAIN, J</p> <p> MADAN B. LOKUR, J</p> <p>JULY 24, 2003 SS</p>

Signature Not Verified

Signing Date: 20.07.2025 17:08:28
Certify that the digital and physical file have been compared and the digital data is as per the physical file and no page is missing.