Sr. No.	Date	Orders
		* IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI
		+ CRLMA 97/2003
		M/S ADARSH ENTERPREISES Petitioner
		Through Mr.Sanjay Gupla, Advocate
		versus ,
,-4		
		M/S KAMAL ENTERPRISES & ORS Respondents Through
<i>?</i>		CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.S. SODHI
		,
		ORDER
		% 08.12.2003 .
		Leave to appeal is granted. Crl.Misc.Application 97/2003 is
jac"		allowed and disposed of.
-	•	<u>Crl.A. ^{Po}\ /2003</u> :
		Let this appeal be numbered.
		Counsel for the appellant submits that on 5th April, 2003,
		complainant was present along with the counsel at 11.30 a.m.
		in the Court, however, prior thereto the matter was called out
Digitally	ture Not Verifie S gned By: AMULYA a the diguil file and The have theen compared the ta is as pet the physical file	·
g du	pay seed the	

Sr No	Date	Orders	 	•
D1. 110.	Date	Oldelo		•

-2-

shows that neither the complainant nor the accused was present though the summons were issued to the accused vide order dated 24th January, 2003. Immediately an application for inspection was moved when it was found out that the complaint has been dismissed in default. Aggrieved thereof a revision was moved under Section 397/399 of the Code of Criminal Procedure against the order dated 24th January, 2003, which was dismissed vide order dated 5th April, 2003 on the ground that it was not maintainable. Thereafter, the complainant has filed this petition under Section 378 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

Counsel submits that although he was in court along with the complainant yet in the early hours the matter was dismissed in default. He submits that delay in reaching the court was due to traffic jam and that the same was beyond his control.

Heard counsel for the appellant. In view of the facts that the accused has not been summoned nor did he appear on 5th April, 2003, there is no need to issue notice to him in this appeal. Consequently, after hearing counsel for the appellant, I am satisfied that appellant's absence on 5th April, 2003 before

, K

Sr. No.	Date	Orders
į		- 3-
:		
		the trial court was inadvertent and beyond control. I therefore, in
		the interest of justice, set aside the order dated 5th April, 2003
		and restore the complaint to its original file and number.
•		With this Crl.Appeal 809 /2003 is allowed and disposed
		of.
		Dasti.
	(Mh.
•31		R.S. SODHI, J
		DECEMBER 08, 2003
		dr
, k		