IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

WEALTH TAX APPLICATION No 13 of 1999

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI and

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE A.L.DAVE

Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed: NO to see the judgements?
 To be referred to the Reporter or not?: NO
 Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
 Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

COMMISSIONER OF WEALTH TAX

Versus

SAJJANSINH N. CHAUHAN,

Appearance:

1. WEALTH TAX APPLICATION No. 13 of 1999

MR MANISH R BHATT for Petitioner No. 1

MR KM PARIKH for Petitioner No. 1

MR SN SOPARKAR for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI and

MR.JUSTICE A.L.DAVE

Date of decision: 31/01/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

(Per : MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI)

The following question of law arises from the order of the Tribunal.

"Whether the appellate Tribunal is right in law
and on facts in holding that the right to the
enhanced compensation could not be included in
the assessment of the wealth of the assessee for
the year in question?

The Tribunal is directed to forward the statement of facts with the above question that arises from its order. Rule is made absolute accordingly with no order as to costs.

[R.K. ABICHANDANI, J.]

[A.L. DAVE, J.]

pirzada/-