

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 5635 of 1989

For Approval and Signature:

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the concerned : NO
Magistrate/Magistrates, Judge/Judges, Tribunal/Tribunals?

NARENDRA HARILAL PAREKH

Versus

ASSTT. COMMISSIONER OF LABOUR

Appearance:

1. Special Civil Application No. 5635 of 1989
MS VINITA VINAYAK FOR MR PM THAKKAR for the Petitioner
MR L.R.POOJARI, AGP, for the Respondent No. 1-3

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.K.ABICHANDANI

Date of decision: 29/08/2003

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. The learned counsel for the petitioner states
that the petitioner will make a representation to the
concerned authority for giving him a fresh appointment as

a daily wager and therefore, seeks permission to withdraw this petition.

2. The learned counsel for the respondents authorities states that, if such an application is made and if any work is available and the petitioner can be offered work as a fresh daily wager, such application will be duly considered and an appropriate decision will be taken thereon within four weeks from the date it is received.

3. The petition stands rejected, as withdrawn. Rule is discharged with no order as to costs. If an application is made by the petitioner for being given work as a fresh daily wager, the concerned authority of the respondents shall consider the same sympathetically and take a decision thereon in accordance with law expeditiously.

[R.K.ABICHANDANI, J.]

parmar*