

CFI 1004 (4)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CG)

W.P.No. . . 3745 . . /2003.

Single Bench

Petitioner : NARSINGH PRASAD CHANDEL  
S/o Late Shri DILARA CHANDEL,  
Aged 63 years,  
Retired Second Grade Clerk,  
Distt. & Session Judge Office  
Durg (C.G.).  
Present Address :- Near Shiv Mandir  
Sheo Para, Durg,  
Tah. & Distt. Durg (C.G.).

P.R. No. 3562/03  
Presented by Shri R.S. Singh  
dated 13.11.03

Versus.

- Respondent : (1) The Distt. and Sessions Judge  
DURG (C.G.).
- (2) The State Govt. of C.G.  
Through : Secretary  
(Law Deptt.),  
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).
- (3) State Govt. of M.P.  
Through - The Secretary,  
General Administration Deptt.,  
Vallabh Bhawan,  
BHOPAL (M.P.).

RECEIVED COPY  
13-11-03  
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION U/ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF  
INDIA.

COMMISSION

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

WP 3745

सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित   | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|----------------------------------|---|--|
|                                  | <p><u>19.12.2003</u></p> <p>Shri Ajay Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Sanjay K. Agrawal, Dy. Advocate General for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The petitioner was appointed on 07.02.1959 as 3<sup>rd</sup> Grade Clerk and on that capacity he worked till 29.02.1980 under the Collectorate, Durg. From 01.03.1980, the petitioner was absorbed in the office of District &amp; Sessions Judge, Durg in the same capacity. The petitioner was promoted in the post of Assistant Grade -II vide order-dated 02.05.1990 and till his retirement he worked on the same post.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner served more than 41 years and got only one promotion in his whole service carrier, whereas the petitioner is entitled for two promotions in his whole service carrier under the Time Bound Scheme issued by the then Government of Madhya Pradesh which is applicable in this State also. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that various representations were made by the petitioner but those representations have not been considered by</p> |  |

आदेश पत्रक

WP 3745/

मामला क्रमांक

सन 2003

विरुद्ध

| आदेश का<br>दिनांक आदेश<br>क्रमांक सहित | आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित<br>- 2 -   | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार<br>के अन्तिम आदेश |
|--|--|---|
|  | <p>the respondents, therefore, the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation within ten days from today and respondents be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within thirty days, to which learned Dy. Advocate General/respondent no.2 has no objection.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within ten days from today to respondent No.1 and in turn, respondent No.1 shall decide his representation within a period of thirty days from the date of receipt of the same in an objective manner with a reasoned order.</p> <p>With the above directions the petition stands disposed of.</p> <p>In view of this order, I.A. No.10,8086/03 stands disposed of.</p> <p>Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.</p> |   |

Sd/-  
L.C.BHADOO  
Judge

[सिद्ध संकेत]

Roshan