

froot

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 14.2.9 2003

PETITIONER

A Man by Spirit Charles

Radheshyam Sharma,

S/o Late Shri Paramlal Sharma

Aged about 44 years,

Occupation Sub Engineer,

Office of Maniyari Division Mungeli

R/o Dham Muhalla, Panna (M.P.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- The State of Chhattisgarh.
 Through: Chief Secretary,
 Water Resources Deptt.
 D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
- The State of M.P.
 Through: Secretary,
 General Administrative Deptt.
 State Re. Organization Cell
 Mantralaya, Vallabha Bhawan
 Bhopal (M.P.)
- 3. Union of India,
 Through: Secretary Karmik
 Lok Shikayat & Pension
 Mantralaya, Lok Nayak Bhawan,
 Delhi

Coty of Secretary of the second

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश **पत्रक** W P 1428 / 5 मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	9/5/2003	

Petitioners through their respective counsel.

State by Shri Ayaz Naved, Govt. Advocate.

Counsel for Union of India Shri Pankaj Shrivastava is also present.

Shri V.K. Tankha, Advocate General, for the State of M.P.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioners submit that they have supplied the copies of the petitions with annexures to the counsel for the State of C.G./M.P. and the Union of India.

However, one extra copy be supplied and the acknowledgements be obtained.

Counsel for the petitioners submit that these matters are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in W.P. No. 2353/02 (Mahesh Kumar Tiwari vs. Union of India and others) decided on 28/4/2003. They pray that the similar

[पीछे देखिय

आदेश का

दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित 24

आदेश पत्रक *WP 1428/05* मामला क्रमांक 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित

:	orders may be passed in	hese cases also as they are similar.
2 ₁	It is stated that the state	ment is made at the Bar after due
	verification. It is not disp	ted.

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार

के अन्तिम आदेश

Accordingly, relying on the statement made by counsel for the petitioners on the instructions received from the petitioners as stated, the petitions are disposed of with the observations as made in W.P. No.2353/02 (Mahesh Kumar Tiwari vs. Union of India and others) decided on 28/4/2003 subject to the modification that the petitioners, if so desire, may make a detailed representation raising their grievances alongwith the documents within ten days from today to the Central Government addressed to the Government of India, Department of Personal and Training, Ministry of Personal. Public Grievances and Pensions, Loknayak Bhawan, Khan Market, New Delhi (State Reorganisation Cell). Same copy of the representation duly supported by all documents be also served on the concerned Departments of both the States after

[पीछे देखिये

आदेश का

दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित

आदेश पत्रक พ*२ । 1428 | स*न् 200

विरुद्ध

कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्टार आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित के अन्तिम आदेश obtaining the acknowledgement and the both the States may forward the same to the Central Government with their comments and the requisite information and complete details 30th June, 2003, in order to enable the Central Government to decide the representation. It is open to the Central Government to seek such further information from the State Governments and on such requisition being made from the Central Government; the State Governments will supply the same expeditiously. On such a representation being made, the Central Government may consider and decide the same till 3 st July, 2003 or so, by passing appropriate orders. In view of the above, M.W.P. & I.A., if any, stand disposed of. A copy of the order passed in W.P., No.2353/02 be placed in these files also. Sd/-**Fakhruddin** Certified copy as per rules. Judge

पिछे देखिये