

3
CP. No. 100/-
is less

cf. 100/-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 922 OF 2003

- PETITIONERS :
1. Ram Charan Sahu, son of Natthu Sahu, aged about 35 years, resident of Village Chorbhatti, P.O. Amodi, Sarsiwan, District-Raipur, (C.G.)
 2. Daulal Sahu, son of Baratram Sahu, aged about 40 years, resident of Village-Chorbhatti, P.O. Amodi, Sarsiwan, District Raipur.

V E R S U S

- RESPONDENTS :
1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Panchayat Department, Raipur, (C.G.)
 2. Collector, Raipur, (C.G.)
 3. Sub Divisional Officer, Bilaiagarh, District Raipur, (C.G.)
 4. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat, Bilaiagarh, District-Raipur, (C.G.)
 5. Tehsildar, Bilaiagarh, District-Raipur, (C.G.)
 6. Superintendent of Police, Raipur, (C.G.)
 7. Station House Officer, Police Station, Sarsiwan, District-Raipur.
 8. Chaitram Kewat, son of Kunj Ram Kewat, aged 40 years, Panchayat Karmi, Gram Panchayat Chorbhatti, Janpad Panchayat Bilaiagarh, District-Raipur. (C.G.)
 9. Nanhe Lal Jangde, son of Janku Lal Jangde, aged about 55 years, Sarpanch of Gram Panchayat, Chorbhatti, District-Raipur, (C.G.)

P. R. No. 632/03
Presented by Shri. Anan Keshavnani
dated 26/03/03

RECEIVED COPY.
26/2

LEK TO A.G. BILASPUR



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

1. Particulars of the Petitioners :
As per cause title.
2. particulars of the respondents :
As per cause title.

आदेश पत्रक

WP 922/03

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	27/5/2003 Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner. State by Rajesh Pandey, Govt. Advocate. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that this petition has been filed against the ^{alleged} arbitrary and illegal action of respondents No.1 to 6, who are not taking any action against respondents No. 8 and 9 though there is serious charge of great misconduct has been established in the enquiry against them. He has also made a representation (Annexure P/7) but the same has not been decided. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order to the competent authority within fifteen days from today and on such representation being filed the competent authority shall ^{hear all parties} decide the same by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law within two months from the date of production of representation. If any adverse order is passed and the petitioner ^{or any other party} thereby feels aggrieved, he may file the petition afresh challenging the said order ^{in accordance with law.} With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. and I.A., if any, stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

[पीछे देखिये]

17503