(L)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

M.A. No. 767/2002.

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 173 OF THE MOTOR VEHICLES ACT, 1988

(Claim valued at Rs 50,000/-)

APPELLANT NON-APPLICANT No.3 : The New India Assurance Co. Ltd.

The Branch Manager, The New
India Assurance Co. Ltd.

Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

Through: Sr. Branch Manager,
The New India Assurance Co. Ltd.
Bilaspur (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENT NO.1 CLAIMANT : Smt. Binda Bai W/o Bindu Ram, aged about 25 years.

No.1 A

: Rakesh S/o Late Bindu Ram aged about 8 years.

No. 2 B

: Kumari Jamuna S/o late Bindu Ram aged about 4 years.

No.1 C

: Anil S/o late Bindu Ram aged about 1 year.

All resident of village Bhiracha, P.O.-Chhui Khadan, Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.).

Rajnandgaon (C.G.

RESPONDENT NO.2 Non APPLICANT No.1

: Mohammad Salam S/o Miya Jan Hazi,
village & P.O.-Charghat,
Distt. Reewa (M.P.).

....contd....

RESPONDENT NO. 3 NON APPLICANT NO. 2 : Bhai Lal S/o Bhagirathi, aged about 30 years, resident of Mandari, Thana - Puramukti,

P.O. & Distt. Allahabad (U.P.).

Being aggrieved by the interim award dated 16-7-2002 passed in Claim Case No. 8/95 by the Additional Claims Tribunal, Khairagarh, between the parties Smt. Binda Bai and others versus Mohd. Salam and others, the appellant begs to prefer this appeal on the following facts and grounds amongst others.

FACTS



आदेश पत्रक MANA 767/02 मामला क्रमांक 200

विरुद्ध"

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	31/3/2003	

Shri N.K. Agrawal, Advocate, for the appellant.

The insurance company has preferred this appeal against no fault liability passed by the Claims Tribunal. The contention is that the insurance company is not liable to pay the amount.

The Claims Tribunal has noted that the incident had occurred due to motor accident. The Tribunal has gone through the material available on record, first information report and other documents and found that the person died as a result of the accident. The Tribunal has further considered the cover note and thereafter passed the impugned award.

The contention of the appellant is that the cover note is not genuine and the licence is not valid.

पिछे देखिये

आदेश का

दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर



कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार

के अन्तिम आदेश

आदेश पत्रक भामला क्रमांक <u>भि. ते कि 767</u>/०2 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध

आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित

This is a third party claim. Having considered, the Tribunal has directed payment of award. The Tribunal has also directed that the claimants shall withdraw the amount only after furnishing the security. No fault liability is payable by the owner and in case the vehicle is insured by the insurance company for and on behalf of the owner.

This Court has gone through the award. Prima facie, the Tribunal has given the finding in favour of the claimants. The said finding cannot be said to be perverse or illegal. This is only for the purpose of no fault liability.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material on record, ends of justice will serve if a direction is given to the claimants to withdraw the amount of after furnishing the security and further on suitable application being made, the owner to furnish the indemnity bond for the said amount before the Claims Tribunal. The

पिछे देखिये

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर



आदेश पत्रक पामला क्रमांक M.A. No. 767/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध'''

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — <u>३</u> —	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	and all a	hall be considered on its own
1	insurance company succeeds,	the security furnished by the
	claimants and the indemnity	bond to be furnished by the
	owner will protect their interes	
	In the aforesaid view of	the matter, this appeal stands
	disposed of.	
	Consequently, M.C.P. N	o. 1494/2002 for stay stands
	disposed of.	<u> </u>
11		Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge