

3

F 100-20

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR,  
CHHATTISGARH

W.P.No. 1190 OF 2001

PETITIONERS

- ✓ 1. Chiniram Sahu,  
Aged about 37 years  
S/o Shri Amar Singh Sahu  
R/o Sant Kanwar Ram Ward  
Ward No. 10, Tilda Nevra  
District RAIPUR Chhattisgarh  
At present : Councillor  
Ward No.10 Municipal Council  
Tilda Nevra District RAIPUR  
Chhattisgarh
2. Dr. Kaushlesh Paikra  
Aged about 33 years  
S/o Shri Jhagger Singh Paikra  
R/o Main Road, Tilda  
District RAIPUR Chhattisgarh  
At Present : President  
Municipal Council Tilda Nevra  
District RAIPUR Chhattisgarh

P. R. No. 1162/01  
Presented by Shri. Prateek Sharma  
dated 7.7.01

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

- ✓ 1. The State of Chhattisgarh  
Through Secretary, Revenue  
Department, DK Bhawan  
RAIPUR Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner  
Raipur Division  
RAIPUR Chhattisgarh
3. District Magistrate  
RAIPUR Chhattisgarh
4. Chief Municipal Officer  
Municipal Council Tilda Nevra,  
District RAIPUR Chhattisgarh
5. Rajkumar Meghani  
Aged about 40 years  
S/o Late Sri Jeumal Meghani  
R/o Sant Kanwar Ram Ward  
Tilda Nevra District RAIPUR



128  
17/7/2001  
TO AS. RAIPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

WP No. 1190/01  
सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
HC	<p><u>31/7/2003</u></p> <p>Shri Prateek Sharma for the petitioners.</p> <p>Dr. N.K. Shukla, Addl. Advocate General, with Shri S.P. Bagaria, Govt. Advocate, for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The District Magistrate, who is the licensing authority, as per Annexure-P/14 has not recommended for grant of licence. On the last date of hearing, this fact was recorded and the counsel was given time to seek instructions as to whether any cause of action survives.</p> <p>Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record and in view of the fact that the District Magistrate has not recommended for grant of licence, the cause of action for <sup>the</sup> time does not survive. The petitioner may, if an occasion arises, raise an objection before the competent authority and if the objection is rejected, he may avail the remedy available under the relevant provisions of Chhattisgarh Cinema (Regulations) Rules, 1972.</p> <p>With the aforesaid observations, this petitions stands disposed of at this stage.</p> <p>The amount of security, if deposited, be refunded to the petitioner.</p>	<p>Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge</p> <p>Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge</p>