

(3)
(H)
Chok

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3268 /2003

PETITIONER

Rajendra Prasad Gupta, aged about 49 years, son of Late Laxmi Prasad Gupta, By caste-Confectionary, occupation Assistant Teacher, / Prabhari Pradhan Pathak, government girls Primary School, Ramanujnagar, Tah. Surajpur, Distt. Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

P. R. No. 3126/03
Presented by Shri. Rajendra Prasad Gupta
dated 09/10/03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through: Secretary, Department of School Education, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Mantralay, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Sanchalak (Director), Lok Shikshan Department, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Collector, District Surguja (Chhattisgarh)
4. District Education Officer, District Surguja (C.G.)
5. The Head Master, Government Girls Middle School Block Ramanujnagar, District - Surguja (Chhattisgarh)



RECEIVED COPY.
9-10-03
DIST. EDUC. OFFICER, SURGUJA

u
2

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE
NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI, ORDER/ORDERS,
DIRECTION / DIRECTIONS PROHIBITION ETC.



(18)
(12)
LD

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

W.P. 3268 / 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>24.11.2003</p> <p>Shri Sushil Dubey, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Ms. Sharmila Singhai, Dy. Govt. Adv. for the State.</p> <p>By this writ petition under Article 226 / 227 of Constitution of India the petitioner has challenged the order dated 25.08.2003 by which the petitioner who is working as Assistant Teacher in Government Girls Middle School, Ramanujnagar has been transferred from Ramanujnagar to Baikunthpur, Distt. Koriya.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an Assistant Teacher and his seniority is maintained district-wise, therefore, the petitioner cannot be transferred out of the district.</p> <p>Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the transfers which were made on administrative exigency the seniority is not affected.</p> <p>Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am of the opinion that if the petitioner is allowed to make a representation raising all his grievances and the same is decided by respondent no.2 that will meet the ends of justice. It is therefore, directed that</p>	

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.3268/सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within ten days from today to respondent no.2 and respondent no.2 in turn will decide the representation in an objective manner considering all the grievances of the petitioner with a reasoned order. Meanwhile, if the petitioner is already not relieved, he should not be relieved till the decision of the representation.</p> <p>With the above direction, the petition stands disposed of.</p> <p>Consequently, M.W.P. No.2982/2003 & I.A. No.9604/2003 also stands disposed of.</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules.</p>	<p>Sd/- L.C.BHADOO Judge</p>

[पीछे देखिये]

Roshan

28-11