

CHIT (8)
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2440 OF 2002

BETWEEN

R.K.Shukla son of Shri C.P.Shukla, aged about 36 years,
Working as Assistant Engineer, Public Health Engineering
Sub Division Katghora District Korba (CG).

PETITIONER

AND

P.R. No. 2556/02
Presented by Shri C.R. Jaiswal
dated 12/11/02

The State of Chhattisgarh,

Through the Secretary Department of Public
Health Engineering, Mantralay, D.K.S. Building,
Raipur (CG).

2. Shri Hem Raj Maraskole,
Executive Engineer,
Public Health Engineering Division Korba,
District Korba (CG).

RESPONDENTS

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.NO-2440/2002

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>31/01/2003</p> <p>Shri Prashant Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Sanjay K. Agarwal, Dy.A.G. for the respondent no.1/State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The challenge in this writ petition is to the order dated 29/10/2001 (Annexure P/1) by which the petitioner was placed under suspension in contemplation of a Departmental Enquiry.</p> <p>According to the petitioner, the order was passed with mala fide intentions and in a revengeful manner on the basis of a false report lodged against him.</p> <p>When the petition is called for hearing, a statement is made by the Counsel for the State that the order of suspension has been revoked on 06/01/2003 which fact is admitted by the counsel for the petitioner. In this view of the matter, no further action is called for in this writ petition which stands disposed of with a direction to the State that since the order of</p>	

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

40 P 2440/02 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>suspension has been revoked, the consequential benefits i.e., posting and payment of salary are to follow.</p> <p>Counsel for the petitioner also states that petitioner's posting at Bilaspur in question is malafide. However, this contention cannot be raised as that is not the subject matter of challenge before this Court.</p> <p>With the aforesaid observation and direction, the writ petition is disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No. 1485/2002 for interim relief and I.A.No.7629/2002 for urgent hearing also stand disposed of.</p>	<p>Sd/- P.C NAIK Judge</p>