

✓
CHM (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR

Single Bench

W.P. No. 2987 /2003

Petitioner

: AJAY KUMAR JAIN,
aged about 26 years,
S/o Late Shri Ramesh Chandra Jain
R/o C/o Shri Deepchand Kamal
Kumar Jain, Cloth Stores,
Parade Chowraha, Lashkar Road,
Bhind (M.P.)

P. R. No. 2639/03
Presented by Shri B. M. S. Kar P. R. No. 1309/03
dated 13.09.03

VERSUS

Respondents

- : 1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through : Secretary,
Department of Agriculture
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Director,
Directorate of Agriculture,
Raipur (C.G.)
3. Deputy Director, Agriculture,
Raigarh (C.G.)
4. Joint Director,
Agriculture (Establishment),
Directorate of Agriculture,
Raipur (C.G.)
5. State of Madhya Pradesh,
Through Secretary,
Department of Agriculture,
Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)
6. Director, Agriculture,
Vindhyaachal Bhawan,
Bhopal (M.P.)

12/9/03
CLERK TO JLG, BILASPUR



7. Joint Director,
Agriculture (Establishment),
Directorate of Agriculture,
Bhopal (M.P.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ज.प. 1987/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><u>29-9-2003</u></p> <p>Heard Shri Bhaskar Payasi, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>By this petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought relief for directing respondent No.2 to consider his case and to pass appropriate order appointing him on compassionate ground.</p> <p>The case of the petitioner is that his father late Shri Ramesh Chandra Jain was an employee of Agriculture Department, Government of M.P. and he died on 21-10-98 while he was working as Senior Agriculture Development Officer. After the death of his father, the petitioner moved an application for giving him compassionate appointment and in the meantime, he also requested to defer his appointment as he was studying in class-XI. However, after passing the Higher Secondary Examination, the petitioner again moved an application reviving his earlier request for giving him appointment on compassionate ground. Certain objections were raised by respondent No. 2 and ultimately all the objections were removed by the petitioner. Thereafter vide letter dated 21st June 2001, the Deputy Director, Agriculture, Raigarh intimated the Additional Director, Agriculture Raipur about the removal of the objections by the petitioner. Even the option was sought from the petitioner that in which State he wants the appointment on compassionate ground. The</p>	

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>petitioner gave his option for the State of Chhattisgarh. Thereafter, nothing has been heard from the respondents.</p> <p>The counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is regularly pursuing his case before the respondents authorities but they are not paying any heed to the request of the petitioner.</p> <p>Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, as the petitioner has complied with all the requirements for appointment on compassionate ground and has removed all the objections and he has also given option for appointment in the State of Chhattisgarh, even then the respondents are not considering the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment.</p> <p>In the given situation, respondent No.2 is directed to process the case of the petitioner for compassionate appointment and pass appropriate order within a period of 3 months from today.</p> <p>The writ petition is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Consequently, I.A. No. 8891/2003 also stands disposed of.</p> <p>Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.</p>	<div data-bbox="1084 1849 1442 2072" style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 10px; text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge</p> </div>

Barve

[पीछे देखिये]