



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 112 /2003

PETITIONER

: A. K. Sharma,
S/o Late Manmohan Prasad Sharma,
Aged about 45 years,
Occupation- Service, Presently
Posted as Assistant Engineer (Design)
In the office of Engineer In Chief,
Water Resources Department, Raipur
R/o Shanti Vihar Colony, Behind Sheetla
Mandir, Dagania, Raipur (C.G.).

Versus -

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary, Water Resources
Department, Govt. of C.G., K. D. S.
Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. Engineer In Chief,
Water Resources Department,
Raipur (C.G.)

P. R. No. 82/2003
Presented by Shri Thakur Dasen Solanki
dated 08.01.2003

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION
TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.**

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ५२/ सन् २००३

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>21-2003</p> <p>Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Prafulla Bharat, Panel Lawyer, for the State.</p> <p>The grievance of the writ petitioner is that vide order dated 20-12-2002, he was transferred from Raipur to Chura but before that order could be implemented vide order dated 27-12-2002 he has been transferred to Kapsi.</p> <p>The challenge in this petition is on two grounds that transfer has been affected during the ban period and when the academic session is coming to an end his daughter has to appear at the Board examination. It is stated that the implementation of the transfer order will adversely affect the studies of his daughter. Accordingly, the prayer is that at least his transfer may be deferred till the end of the academic session.</p> <p>Admittedly, against the transfer order the petitioner has not moved any representation raising his grievance. If the petitioner was aggrieved, he could have been brought these facts to the notice of the authorities by way of a representation, which the Court feels would have been considered by the said authorities in its proper perspective. Since this has not been done, the Court thinks it</p>	

[पीछे देखिये]

65

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP No. 42/03 सन् 2003

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>appropriate to dispose of this writ petition with a direction that in case a representation is made by the petitioner to the concerned authority within seven days from today, the same shall be considered by the authorities on its own merits with an open mind it will an and/take appropriate decision thereon promptly. The authority will be free to consider deferring the transfer of the petitioner at least till the end of the academic session, so that that the studies of petitioner's daughter, who is to appear in Board examination, are not affected.</p> <p>With the above observations and directions this writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently M.W.P. No.62/2003 and I.A.No.246/2003 for urgent hearing also stand disposed of.</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- P. C. Naik Judge</p>	

Roshan

[पीछे देखिये]