

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM



ORDER SHEET

Crl. Misc. Case.....No. 1 of 166 2003

Gaurav Shekhar.....Petitioner/Appellant

Versus

State of Sikkim.....Respondent

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
1.	26.3.2003	<p>Present : Mr. Bimal Kumar, Senior Advocate with Mr. B. Sharma, Mr. Anurag Kumar and Mr. Dineshwar Mishra, Advocates for the petitioner.</p> <p>Mr. N. B. Khatiwada, Addl. Public Prosecutor with Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Govt. Advocate and Mr. Karma Thinlay, Asstt. Govt. Advocate for the State.</p> <p>----</p> <p>Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner on admission. The application is entirely misconceived. Dismissed in limine.</p> <p>It appears that the application has been filed only to delay the proceedings before the trial court. It is mentioned by the learned trial court in the order sheet of 11.2.2003 that the applicant has been praying for adjournment on the ground of illness almost on each and every date. On 25.3.2003, a statement was made before the trial court to the effect that this Misc. Case has been filed before the High Court. The application had been filed before the High Court on 24.3.2003. We also find from the order sheet that the witnesses were sent back without being examined on account of the request for adjournment on behalf of the applicant. Learned trial court should not have been so indulgent in granting adjournments. The trial court shall proceed with the case very expeditiously.</p> <p> (N. Sunjamani Singh) Judge 26.3.2003</p> <p> (Ripusudan Dayal) Chief Justice 26.3.2003</p>	<p>Copy of order forwarded along with the trial Court record hereby 27/3/03</p>