

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO 2147 OF 2001

4

PETITIONERS

✓ Padam Chandra Nahta  
s/o Late Shri K.L. Nahta  
aged 46 years,  
proprietor Navkar Industries  
presently residing at 201-A  
M.P. Housing Board Complex  
near Raipur Naka, besides  
Govt Girls College,  
Durg (C.G)

P. R. No. 2147  
Presented by Shri. Anil S. Nahta  
dated 9.10.2001

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. ✓ State of Chhattisgarh  
through Secretary  
Department of Public Works  
Mantralayay, DKS Bhawan  
Raipur (C.G)
2. M.P. State Industries Corpn Ltd  
through its Managing Director  
Panchanan Bhawan, First Floor  
Malviya Nagar,  
Bhopal (M.P).
3. Manager,  
M.P. State Industries Corpn Ltd,  
Furniture Works Abhanpur &  
Allied Steel Furniture Works  
Abhanpur, Raipur. A Unit of  
M.P. State Industries Corpn Ltd  
Bhopal (M.P).
4. Executive Engineer,  
Public Works Department,  
Division No. 1 Katoratalab  
Raipur (C.G)


PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA  
FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIO-  
RARI, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRIT'S  
DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS.

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER



As per cause title above.

2. PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS

As per cause title above.



✓ Recovery  
Shri. Anil S. Nahta  
3/10/2001  
Office of the Advocate General  
State of Chhattisgarh  
Bilaspur



## आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 2173/2001  
मामला क्रमांक सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	26.4.2002	
	<p>Shri Mandindra Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Gautam Bhaduri, Government Advocate, for the State.</p> <p>With the consent of the parties the matter is finally heard.</p> <p>Counsel for the petitioner submits that though supplies have been made, goods have been delivered, but payment thereof has not been made. He further submits that earlier while disposing of W.P.No.71/ 2002, 72/2002 and 73/2002 similar orders have been passed and prays that similar reliefs be also granted in this petition.</p> <p>Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record and having heard learned counsel for the parties and without entering into the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, it would be just and proper that the petitioner to file a detailed representation alongwith a copy of the petition before respondent no.3 and 4 and on</p>	

[पीछे देखिये]

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2173 सन् 2001

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><del>such representation is being made, respondents no.3 and 4</del></p> <p>shall consider and decide it in accordance with law as early as possible, preferably within six weeks from the date of production/receipt of representation. On examination, if it is found that the petitioner is entitled to receipt of such payment, necessary action be taken.</p> <p>It is made clear that non-compliance of the order shall be viewed serious.</p> <p>This petition is disposed of with the direction aforesaid.</p> <p>Certified Copy be supplied.</p>	

Sd/-  
Fakhruddin  
Judge

[पीछे देखिये]

30/4  
6/3/10