

cf 1007

2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 2448 /2001

PETITIONER


Central Eye Secure net (India)
A firm registered under the Partnership Act
1932 through its working partner
✓ R.M Choudhary S/o Shri Vishvnath
Choudhary, Aged about 46 years,
R/o M.D. 45, Aditya Nagar (Titurdih)
Durg 491001(Chhattisgarh)

P.R. No. 2450/a
Filed by Shri Indrajit Singh
dated 21/11/2001

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS

1. Steel Authority of India Ltd.
Through its Chairman,
New Delhi.
2. Managing Director,
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Bhilai.
3. General Manager,
(Administration Township),
Bhilai Steel Plant,
Bhilai.



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A
SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO DO
JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.**

Details of the petition.


1. **PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER:**
As per cause title.
2. **PARTICULARS OF THE RESPONDENTS:**

Reviewed ✓

M. A. J. Singh

21/11/2001

INCHARGE
Constitution Cases Section,
Office of the Advocate General,
State of Chhattisgarh
BILASPUR



cf 1001

2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 2448 /2001

3. General Manager.

RESPONDENT NO. 4

Union of India,

Through: Secretary Home affairs
Govt. of India, New Delhi.

RESPONDENT NO. 5.

State of Chhattisgarh,
Through: Secretary Depot. of
Home. D.K. Bhawan. Raipur
(Chhattisgarh).

HE

Q

High Court
Order dated 24/4/2001
Respondent No. 4
is impleaded
as party.
3/5/2001

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2448 / सन् 2001

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><u>25.09.2002</u></p> <p>Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Prashant Mishra alongwith Shri P.P.Sahu who appears on behalf of Dr.N.K.Shukla for respondents No.1 to 3.</p> <p>Shri U.N.S.Deo, counsel for respondent No.4.</p> <p>Shri Sanjay K.Agrawal, Dy.Advocate General for State/respondent No.5.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The petitioner is a registered partnership firm, which provides security guards. On 3.10.2001 a notice inviting tenders was issued by the Bhilai Steel Plant for providing security guards and security supervisors for the schools and in the public premises of Bhilai township and the mining area. In response thereto, the petitioner had submitted his tender. However, due to certain objections, the tender of the petitioner was not considered, as according to the authorities it did not fulfil the conditions regarding experience. Aggrieved therewith, the petitioner approached this Court and an interim order was passed that if no allotment had been made till that date, status</p>	

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>quo as existing on the date of order i.e. 11.12.2001 be maintained.</p> <p>When the matter was taken up today, the learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 submitted that after reconsidering the matter, the authorities have taken a decision to issue a fresh tender and if in response thereto the petitioner submits his tender, the same shall be considered on merits alongwith all other eligible offers that may be found in order. In this view of the matter, the Court feels that no useful purpose will be served in keeping this writ petition, as the grievance of the petitioner no longer survives. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of with a direction that steps may be taken by respondents No.1, 2 and 3 to issue fresh tender preferably within 4 weeks from today and in case the petitioner submits his tender, that be considered on its own merits alongwith all tenders submitted by other eligible persons who may also fulfil the conditions.</p> <p>With the aforesaid observation and direction, the petition stands disposed of.</p>	

Sd/-
P.C.Naik
Judge