

==1== *afwot* (3)

WRIT PETITION No. 2539 OF 2002

**PETITIONER:**

P. R. No. 2508/1  
Presented by Shri. Shri. H. H. H.  
dated 1/1/1912

**Vs.**

## RESPONDENTS :

5. Union of India Through  
Secretary health department  
New Delhi



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2538/2002  
सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><u>20/12/2002: -</u></p> <p>Shri Shailesh Ahuja, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Gautam Bhaduri, Govt. Advocate, for the State/respondent No.1</p> <p>Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Additional Central Govt. Standing Counsel, for respondent No.5/Union of India.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The prayer in this writ petition is for a direction to respondents 1 to 3 to transfer the petitioner from the State of Chhattisgarh to the State of Madhya Pradesh. According to the petitioner, though he had opted for the State of Madhya Pradesh, he has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh. Accordingly, a representation has been made by the petitioner before Lohani Committee for re-allocation to the State of Madhya Pradesh on mutual transfer between him and respondent No.4 or even otherwise to consider his option for State of Madhya Pradesh.</p> <p>When the matter is called, a prayer is made that this petition may be disposed of by directing Lohani Committee to consider the representation of the petitioner.</p>	

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

W.P. No. 2538/2002

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>In view of the limited nature of the prayer made, no useful purpose will be served in keeping this writ petition pending. Accordingly, it is disposed of by directing Lohani Committee to consider the representation of the petitioner at an early date preferably within three months from the date of receipt/communication of the order. It is made clear that the Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the petitioner's option which will have to be examined by the said Committee on its own merits.</p> <p>Certified copy of this order as per rules.</p>	<p>Sd/- P.C.Naik Judge</p>

Brajesh\*Subbu\*