

(3)

*CyP/100*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR,  
CHHATTISGARH**

**WRIT PETITION No. 880 OF 2002**

**PETITIONER**

Rajaram Shrivastava, aged about 59 years, S/o Late Ashrafilal Shrivastava, working as Food Inspector in the office of Deputy Director, Food and Drug Administration, Durg, resident of house No. C/175, street No. 18, Smriti Nagar, Bhilai, District Durg (Chhattisgarh)

**Versus**

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh through the Secretary, Department of Public Health and Family Welfare & Medical Education, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Building, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
2. Director, Directorate of Medical Education, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. Controller, Food and Drug Administration, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
4. Deputy Director, Food and Drug Administration, District Durg (Chhattisgarh)
5. State Administrative Tribunal through its Registrar, R.D.A. Building, Kutchery Chowk, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

*857/02*  
P.R. No. ....  
Presented by Shri. *V.R. Singh*  
dated ..... *26-04-02*



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 880/2002 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><b>D.B.: Hon'ble Mr. P.C. Naik, Hon'ble Mr. Fakhruddin, JJ.</b></p> <p><b>24-09-2002</b></p> <p>Shri Prashant Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>When the matter was called, it was stated by the learned counsel that the petitioner, who is a cardiac patient would like to make a representation to the State Government for re-considering his case on the ground of his ailment that he has hardly one year of service left and his wife, who is a Government servant, is posted at the District Hospital, Durg.</p> <p>In reply, it is fairly stated by the learned counsel for the State that if such a representation is made, it shall be disposed of within two weeks on its own merits taking all relevant aspects into consideration.</p> <p>In this view of the matter, no useful purpose will be served in keeping this writ petition pending, which is disposed of giving liberty to</p>	

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 880/2002 सन् 200

## आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश <u>2</u>	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>the petitioner to make a representation as prayed, which shall be disposed of as undertaken, within a period of two weeks from receipt thereof. Since the petition has not been disposed of on merits, it is made clear that if such an occasion so arises, the petitioner will be free to re-agitate the matter.</p> <p><b>Sd/- P.C NAIK Judge</b></p>	<p><b>Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge</b></p>