

①


⑦

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
CHHATTISGARH

W.P. No. ²⁵⁴⁵/2001

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

PETITIONERS :



1. Smt. Kiran Beriwal W/o Shiv Kumar Beriwal aged 51 years R/o Tikrapara, Bilaspur (C.G.)
2. ✓ Shiv Kumar Beriwal S/o Late Dwarika Das Beriwal aged 56 years Occupation - Advocate High Court, R/o Tikrapara, Bilaspur IC.G.)
3. Ashok Beriwal S/o Late Dwarika Das Beriwal aged 46 years Occupation - Business R/o Agrasen Marg/Dani para, Raigarh (C.G.)
4. Smt. Lata Beriwal W/o Shri Ashok Beriwal aged 42 years R/o Agrasen Marg, Danipara, Raigarh (C.G.)

Vs

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through Collector, Raigarh (C.G.)
2. The Chief Conservator of Forest, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Divisional Forest Officer Raigarh Division, Raigarh (C.G.)
4. State Bank of India, Through Branch Manager, Agricultural Development Branch Raigarh (C.G.)
5. Union of India Through The Secretary Ministry of Environment & Forest, New Delhi.

VED COPY.

4/12/01
A.G. BILASPUR

referred

DO-9/8/01

AR(T)

Amended as per
C.O. dt-2.8.02
Members
2/16

6. The State of Madhya Pradesh Through the Ministry of Forests, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 2345/01 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>24-09-2002</p> <p>Shri B.L. Deembra, counsel for the petitioners.</p> <p>Shri Sanjay K Agarwal, Dy. Advocate General for the State.</p> <p>Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for the respondent No.5.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The grievance of the writ petitioners is to the inaction on the part of the State authorities in not granting permission for them to cut and transport the Eucalyptus & Bamboo trees standing over their private lands.</p> <p>According to the petitioners, under the Social Forestry programme and under assurance of getting permission for cutting and transportation of Eucalyptus & Bamboo trees they had put Eucalyptus & Bamboo trees plantation on their lands. In order to put up the plantation they had also taken bank loan and for repayment of bank loan, the petitioners had approached the State authorities for permission to cut and transport the trees so that from the income derived therefrom the bank loans could be cleared. But no action having being taken on their applications, they have approached this Court for relief.</p>	

-2-

[पीछे देखिये]

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2845/01 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Roshan	<p>In view of the limited grievance of the petitioners, no useful purpose would be served in keeping this writ petition pending, as the same can be disposed of at this stage. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of by directing the concerned Collector and Divisional Forest Officer to take an appropriate decision in the matter or permission for cutting and transporting of Eucalyptus & Bamboo trees, which are standing on the private lands of the petitioners at an early date, preferably within eight weeks from the date of receipt / production of the order. It is made clear that the Court is not expressing any opinion on merits of the petitioners claim and that the decision shall be taken by appropriate authorities on its own merits and in accordance with the provisions in force.</p> <p>With the above direction petition stands disposed of.</p> <p>Consequently I.A. No. 5493/2001 also stands disposed of.</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules.</p>	<p>Sd/- P.C. Naik Judge</p>

[पीछे देखिये]

22/11/01 29/12/01