

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P.No. 2461/2001

PETITIONERS

1. R.B.Shukla
S/o Late Shri A.P.Shukla,
Aged about 50 years,
Sub Divisional Officer, Tandula,
Water Resources Department
Sub Division No.3,
District – Durg.
2. B.K.Shukla,
S/o Shri B.P.Shukla,
Aged about 45 years,
Sub Engineer, Tandula,
Water Resources Sub Divisio No.3
Durg.
3. A.K.Mukharjee,
S/o Shri A.K.Mukharjee,
Aged about 45 years,
Sub Engineer Tandula,
Water Resources Sub Division No.3
Durg.
4. Kishor Sharma,
S/o Shri H.L.Sharma,
Aged about 44 years,
Sub Engineer Tandula,
Water Resources Sub Division No.3
Durg.

- Versus -


RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary,
Water Resources Department,
D.K.Bhawan, Raipur.

RECEIVED COPY.

CLERK TO A.C. BILASPUR

P.R. No. 2461
Filed by Shri P.Vibha Sharma
dated 22-11-2001



2. Shri Dhanesh Patila,
Hon'ble Minister,
Water Resources and Energy
Department, Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Raipur.

3. Shri N.S. Bhadoriya,
Chief Engineer,
Mini Mata Bango Project,
Bilaspur.

4. State Administrative Tribunal,
Raipur Bench Through its
Registrar.



**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A
SUITABLE WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION TO DO
JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.**

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No.2461 of 2001

R.B. Shukla and others

Vs.

State of Chhattisgarh & ors.

Coram: Hon'ble Shri Justice K.H.N.Kuranga, C.J.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Fakhruddin,J.

Present:

Shri Prashant Mishra, Adv. ... For Petitioners

Dr. N.K.Shukla, Addl.A.G. with
Shri Abhishek Sinha, Adv. ... For Respondents.ORDER

The following order of the Court was
passed by K.H.N.Kuranga, C.J.-

The petitioners have in this petition sought for setting aside the order dated 20.11. 2001 passed by the State Administrative Tribunal, Raipur Bench, respondent No.4 herein in O.A. No. 1185 of 2001 which has been produced as Annexure- A in the petition and also the order dated 9.11.2001 (Annexure-A/1). It is not disputed that as against the order dated 9.11. 2001 (Annexure-A/1) appeal lies to the State Government. Therefore, we are

of the opinion that with liberty to petitioners to file an appeal against the orders (Annexure-A/1), the petition can be disposed of.

It is accordingly disposed of. However, the petitioners are at liberty to challenge the order dated 9.11.2001 (Annexure-A/1) before the State Government within one month from today. If such an appeal is filed the State Government would dispose of the appeal on its own merits after hearing the parties within one month. If an application for grant of stay is made before the State Government, the Government would dispose of the same in accordance with law after hearing the parties.

No order as to costs. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
Chief Justice
8/14-2-2002.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge
8/14-2-2002