

cf Pr 30/-
(3)



IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 1868/2001

IN THE MATTER OF

ANIL KUMAR MISHRA
S/O Ramheet Mishra
Aged about 38 years
R/o Vikas Nagar, 27, Kholi
Bilaspur [C.G.]

PETITIONER

VERSUS

1. M.P. STATE LEGAL SERVICE AUTHORITY
Through its Secretary,
574 South Civil Lines
Jabalpur [M.P.]

2. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH
Secretariat, Raipur [C.G.] Through Secretary
Department of Law. D. K. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)


3. STATE OF MADHYA PRADESH
Through Legal Advisory Board
Vindhyanal Bhawan, 2nd Floor
Bhopal [M.P.]
As per court order dated
12.8.2002 Amended

4. DISTRICT LEGAL SERVICES AUTHORITY
Through its secretary
District Court Bilaspur [C.G.]

13.8.2002

5. UNION OF INDIA. Through Secretary
Ministry of Law. Government of India New Delhi.
RESPONDENTS

P. R. No. 1976
Presented by Shri Surya Kant Mishra
The Hon'ble Chief Justice and His
Companion Justices of the
Hon'ble High Court of
Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur.



PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR APPROPRIATE
WRIT, ORDER OR DIRECTION AND FOR
PROTECTION OF FANDAMENTAL RIGHTS AS
GRAUNTEED UNDER ARTICLE 14 & 21 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

The petitioner above named most respectfully stated under: -

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER

As mentioned in the cause title.

MAILED COPY
21.9.01
K TO A.G. ELASPUR

आदेश पत्रक
W.P. No. 1868/2001
मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

(22)

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>16-12-2002</p> <p>Shri J.A. Lohani, Adv. with Shri Surya Kant Mishra, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Adv. for the State.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>This writ petition was filed in September 2001 for a direction to the opposite party to release the salary, which is due to the petitioner from April 2001 onwards. On the previous date of hearing the State counsel was directed to obtain instructions and the Court had also expressed its displeasure for non-payment of the salary to the petitioner, who is a Class-IV employee. On the basis of the instructions received by the State counsel it is stated that the salary due to the petitioner stands paid. Admittedly, the payments were made after filing of this writ petition. It is unfortunate that this fact was not brought to the notice of the Court by the counsel for the petitioner. Be that as it may, as the salary stands paid to the petitioner, no further action is called for in this writ petition, which stands disposed of.</p> <p>Consequently I.A. No.3833/2002 for urgent hearing also stands disposed of.</p>	<p>Sd/- P. C. Naik Judge</p>

[पीछे देखिये]

22/12
9/105
23/7409