

(8)  
(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH**  
**AT BILASPUR**

[WRIT JURISDICTION]

CIVIL WRIT PETITION NO. 148 OF 2002

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**HORI LAL** Aged about 37 years  
S/o late Sudal Dhruw  
Village & Post - Pangoan,  
Tahsil - Baloda Bazar,  
Distt. Raipur [Chhattisgarh]

**PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**1. STATE OF CHHATTISGARH**

Secretary

Water Resources Department, No. 145/02

Secretariat, Raipur [C.G.]

**2. EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,**

Water Resources Division No. 2

Baloda Bazar, Distt. - Raipur

**3. SUB-DIVISIONAL OFFICER,**

Water Management Subdivision No. 7


Baloda Bazar,

District-Raipur

**RESPONDENTS**

To,

The Hon'ble Chief Justice and His  
Companion Justices of the  
Hon'ble High Court of  
Chhattisgarh at Bilaspur.



**PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE**  
**CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 148/2002

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><b><u>24-07-2002</u></b></p> <p>Shri K.M. Gupta, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Adv. for the State/respondent No.1.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>Apart from challenging his termination, the petitioner also raised grievance against the inaction on the part of the Department in not taking a decision on his representation dated 30-10-2001.</p> <p>The facts in brief are that, on the death of his father, the petitioner was given compassionate appointment in the year 1997. Subsequently on 06-06-1998, his services were terminated in view of the orders of the General Administrative Department of Government of Madhya Pradesh, whereby a decision was taken that the services of all persons appointed on daily wage basis after 31-12-1988 should be discontinued.</p> <p>According to the petitioner, subsequent thereto, an order was issued on 17-05-2000 that the services of all those persons who are given compassionate appointments after 31-12-1988, be</p>	

[पीछे देखिये]

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. 148/02

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>not terminated. In view of this circular, the instructions of the G.A.D., the petitioner had submitted a representation for reconsideration of his case which has been indicated above, is still pending.</p> <p>In the above view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to reconsider the matter and dispose of the representation of the petitioner on its own merits, within a period of six weeks from the date of communication of this order.</p> <p>Certified copy as per rules.</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin: 10px auto; width: fit-content;"> <p>Sd/- P.C.Naik Judge</p> </div>	

Murthy

[पीछे देखिये]