

CF No. 5

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 781 /2002

PETITIONER :

Basant Kumar Gupta,  
S/o Shri L.P.Gupta,  
Working as Assistant Manager,  
Chhattisgarh State Co-Operative  
Marketing Federation Ltd. Raipur  
R/o 880, Civil Lines, Raipur(C.G.)

P.R. No. 781/02  
Presented by Shri Basant Kumar Gupta  
dated 15-12-02

- Versus -

RESPONDENTS :

1. M.P.State Co-operative Marketing Federation Ltd. through its Secretary, Bhopal (M.P.)
2. Chhattisgarh State Co-operative Marketing Federation Ltd. through Its Secretary, Raipur.



WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE  
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF SUITABLE  
WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION,  
CERTIORARY AND/OR ANY OTHER ORDER OR DIRECTION  
TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. No. 781/2002

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p><b><u>29-10-2002</u></b></p> <p>Shri Prashant Jaiswal with Shri Gurmit Singh Ahluwalia, counsel for the petitioner.</p> <p>Heard on the question of admission.</p> <p>Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner, the Court is not inclined to entertain this writ petition.</p> <p>The petitioner was an employee of the M.P. State Cooperative Marketing Federation Limited, which had terminated his services. That was challenged before the M.P. High Court by way of Misc.P.T.No.383/1985 (B.K. Gupta - Vs - M.P. State Cooperative Marketing Federation Ltd. and another) which was allowed and the order of termination was set aside and the petitioner was ordered to be reinstated. That was done. As regards back wages, in view of the factual aspects involved, the Court had given liberty to the petitioner to approach the appropriate forum for relief. The petitioner had accordingly moved the Deputy Registrar, Cooperative Society, who on 29-11-2001 has allowed his application and ordered the employer to pay to the petitioner a</p>	

[सिग्नेचर]

## आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 781/2002 सन् 2002

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	<p>sum of Rs.2,78,255.10 along with interest and cost by way of back wages. It appears that after obtaining the order, the petitioner has not taken any steps for getting it executed. He has, however, waited for some time and now approached this Court for a direction to the parties to make the payment. <sup>Respondent No.1</sup> <del>parties</del> <sup>Admittedly</sup>, It is not disputed by learned counsel that the order has to be executed and in case the petitioner has not taken any steps in that regard, he has to thank himself for the delay. The proceedings under Article 226 cannot be utilized for the purpose of executing the order of the Deputy Registrar. If so advised, the petitioner may approach the appropriate forum for getting the order executed in accordance with law and in case such proceedings are initiated, they shall be disposed of within a reasonable period.</p> <p>With the aforesaid observations, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A.Nos.2121/2002 and 5396/2002 stand disposed of.</p>	<p>Sd/- P.C.Naik Judge</p>

further

2/11