

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF M.P. AT JABALPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3584 OF 1998.

(UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA)

PETITIONER : Dr. CHANDRAKANT SHARMA,
S/o late P.L. Sharma, aged about
57 years, Ayurvade Chikitsa Adhikari,
at Bagbahara, District Raipur (M.P.).

VERSUS

- RESPONDENTS :
1. The State of Madhya Pradesh,
through the Secretary,
Panchayat & Community Welfare
Department, Bhopal (M.P.).
 2. (i) Collector, Raipur.
(ii) Collector, Mahasamund.
 - R 3. C.E.O. JANPAD PANCHAYAT SARAIPALI,
Tahsil Saraipali District Raipur (MP)
 - S R 4. C.E.O. JANPAD PANCHAYAT, Bagbahara,
District Raipur (M.P.)
 - R 5. The President,
Janpad Panchayat Saraipali,
District Raipur (M.P.)
 - S R 6. The President,
Janpad Panchayat Bagbahara,
District Raipur (M.P.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION
OF INDIA.

74

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर
W.P.No. 3584/98

मामला क्रमांक सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p><u>25.1.2002</u></p> <p>Petitioner by Shri H.B. Agarwal, Advocate.</p> <p>State by Dr. N.K. Shukla, Additional Advocate General with Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate and Shri Ayaj Naved, Advocate.</p> <p>Heard.</p> <p>The petitioner prays that exactly similar matters have been decided by High Court of Madhya Pradesh in M.P.No.452/91(Annexure P/3), W.P.No.2810/96 (Annexure P/4) and M.P.No. 767/86 (Annexure P/5). He also submits that against one orders dt. 13.11.78 passed in M.P.No. 868/74 S.L.P.(C) No. 7820 and 8006/79 were preferred which were decided on 20.11.1980. Learned counsel for the petitioner stated at the bar that the matter is covered by these decisions.</p> <p>Shri Ayaj Naved submits that the respondents no. 3 and 5 have filed their return and have submitted that part payment has been made.</p>	

75

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक

सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	<p>Having considered the contention and relying on the statement made at the bar by learned counsel for the petitioner, it is directed that the petitioner shall also be dealt with as has been decided in M.P.No. 452/91, W.P.No. 2810/96, and M.P.No. 767/86, copies of which have been filed with this petition as Annexures P/3, P/4 and P/5 respectively and ^{legal} grievance of the petitioner be redressed as early as possible within a period of three months from the date of production of this order.</p> <p>With the above observations, this petition is disposed of finally.</p> <p>Certified copy, as per Rules.</p>	
		<p>Sd/- Acting Chief Justice</p>