

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

ORDER SHEET

Writ Petition (C) No. 6 0//99 2002 Dina Magar Petitioner/Appellant

· Versus

State of Sikkim & Others

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
•	7.3.2002.	Present: Mr. A. Moulik, Advocate for the petitioner. Mr. S. P. Wangdi, Advocate General with Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Gout Advocate 5	
		Mr. J. B. Pradhan, Govt. Advocate for respondent No. 1.	
		Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner. This Writ petition has been filed as Public Interes Litigation to challenge the allotment orders said to have been made	
		in favour of respondent Nos. 2 and 3 in respect of two portions of land situated at Jorethang Bazar. It is stated in paragraph 14 of the	
		petition that allotment in favour of respondent No. 2 was made vide allotment order No.29(58)4991, UD&HD dated 29 th February, 1998	
		Copy of the allotment order has not been filed. No particulars have been given with respect to the allotment alleged to have been made in favour of respondent No.3. The petitioner has alleged that the	
] 		land allotted to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has been in use by the children as a children park for a long period. It is also stated that	
		construction has already been started by respondent No.2 of one RCC building over the site allotted to him and concrete pillars have	
i		already been constructed and he is taking active steps to cast concrete slab of roof of the basement floor of the RCC building. It is	
		obvious that the petitioner has come too late. There is no authentic	



ستد. سفر:

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
order	Order	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
	•	record to show that under the master plan, the land in question was earmarked as children park.	a
1		We see no merit in the petition. The petition is dismissed in limine.	-
		(A. Deb) (R. Dayal) Judge 7.3.2002 (R. Dayal) Chief Justice 7.3.2002	,