



# IN THE HIGH COURT OF SIKKIM

## ORDER SHEET

Regular Second Appeal No. 2 of 10/2002

Damber Bahadur Sripali ..... Petitioner/Appellant  
& Others  
Versus

Maheshwar Basnett & Others ..... Respondent

Serial No. of Order	Date of Order	Order with Signature	Office Note as to action (if any) taken on Order
1.	12.8.2002	<p>Present : Mr. N. B. Kharga, Advocate for the appellants.</p> <p>Mr. N. Rai, Advocate for the respondents.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>There are serious errors in the impugned judgment. Even the operative part portion of the judgment has not been typed. The learned counsel for the appellants shall make an application for review or correction in the judgment before the learned trial Court. After the corrections are carried out, the copy of the corrected judgment and also the decree shall be filed.</p> <p>Be listed for orders on 29<sup>th</sup> August, 2002.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>(Signature)</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;">( R. Dayal ) Chief Justice 12.8.2002</p>	<p>A copy of order has forwarded to trial court by way of</p>
2.	29.8.2002	<p>Present : Ms. Sapna Rai, Advocate appearing as proxy counsel for Mr. N. B. Kharga, Advocate for the appellants.</p> <p>Mr. N. Rai with Ms. Jyoti Kharka, Advocates for the respondents.</p> <p>-----</p> <p>An application for adjournment has been filed on behalf of the appellants on the ground that certified copy of the judgment has been applied for. However, the record of the learned trial court is before the Court and the matter can be decided on the basis of</p>	<p>Records of trial Court has forwarded to DJ (S &amp; P) along with the order. See Sup. 30/8/02</p>

the record in the Court. The application is rejected.

Heard. No substantial question of law arises. The appeal is dismissed in limine.

*(Signature)*

( Ripusudan Dayal )  
Chief Justice