

W.P. No. 772 (S/B) of 2001
(Old No. 23254 of 1989)

Hon. A.A.Desai, C.J.
Hon. P.C. Verma, J.

Heard Sri Ramji Srivastava,
learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned C.S.C.

The petitioner has questioned the propriety of the impugned suspension order. Accordingly to him, he is retiring the next day i.e. 30.06.2001. In view of this the impugned order does not warrant any interference. However, the grievance of the learned counsel is that owing to the pendency of inquiry, the department may deny pensionnery benefits to the petitioner. In view of this, we direct the respondent/ respondent authorities to complete inquiry which is pending and contemplated expeditiously, preferably within a period of five months.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(A.A.D.,C.J.) (P.C. Verma,J.)

Dt. 29.6.2001